Alfaro - People - cross 590 2250, that would be 10:50 p.m.? Q. 1 2 Α. Yes. And that means that you billed the City of New 3 Q. York Police Department for two days of overtime, correct? 4 MS. JOHNSON: Objection, she doesn't bill 5 anybody -- sorry, sorry. 6 7 MR SCHECHTER: I'll rephrase the question. THE COURT: Yeah, please. 8 You applied for how much overtime on this arrest? 9 Ο. MS. JOHNSON: Objection. 10 THE COURT: I'll allow it. 11 12 If you know. (Pause in the proceedings.) 13 How much overtime do you apply for in this arrest? 14 Q. Α. I'm trying to figure it out. Hold on. 15 16 Q. I'm sorry, go ahead. 17 A. Possibly 15 hours. Fifteen hours. 18 Q. 19 Now, overtime means you're paid two times your 20 normal rate of pay, is that correct? Time and a half. 21 Α. Time and a half. 22 Q. And notwithstanding Detective Shulman had already 23 had Mr. Gopaul in custody, you became the arresting officer 24 and you worked on -- with him for the better part of how 25

	Alfaro - People - cross 591
1	many hours?
2	A. Don't recall.
3	Q. Well, you just told us from 4:45 through in the
4	morning until 11:50 at night, correct?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And that was well beyond your scheduled ending
7	tour time, correct?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. While you were doing this what was
10	Detective Shulman doing?
11	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
12	Q. If you know?
13	THE COURT: No, I'll allow it.
14	A. Don't recall.
15	Q. Well, Detective Shulman had interrogated my
16	client, hadn't he?
17	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
18	Q. If you know?
19	THE COURT: Overruled.
20	You could answer that.
21	A. Don't recall.
22	Q. Didn't you have a conversation with
23	Detective Shulman when you got to the precinct?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Didn't you ask him what he did?

		Alfaro - People - cross 592
1	Α.	Yeah, he interviewed the complainant.
2	Q.	Did he also interview Mr. Gopaul?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	So he had a conversation with both?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	Now, as part of your duties you fill out a
7	complaint	report, is that correct?
8	Α.	No as part of my duty, yes.
9	Q.	And in this case you had filled out a Omniform
10	System com	mplaint, is that correct?
11	A.	No.
12	Q.	You had not?
13	A.	No.
14	Q.	Did you prepare it?
15	A.	No.
16	Q.	Who prepared it?
17	Α.	I have to look at my notes.
18	Q.	Please.
19	A.	It would be Officer Morris.
20	Q.	Officer Morris prepared the Omniform System
21	complaint	form?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	Was it based on information you provided him?
24		MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
25		THE COURT: I'll allow that.

		Alfaro - People - cross 593	
1		Can you answer that?	
2	A.	Can you rephrase the question?	
3	Q.	Was the information that he put on the form ba	sed
4	upon info	rmation you provided him?	
5		MS. JOHNSON: Objection.	
6		THE COURT: Yeah, same ruling, overruled.	
7	Α.	Yes, and interviewing the complainant.	
8	Q.	Correct?	
9		MR. SCHECHTER: I would like this marked	as
10	Defe	ndant's S, please, for identification.	
11		THE COURT: Defendant's S.	
12		(Defendant's Exhibit S marked for	
13	iden	tification.)	
14	Q.	Now, before we get to this form, why didn't yo	u
15	prepare t	his document?	
16	A.	Because I wasn't on tour at that time.	
17	Q.	It was prepared after you were off tour?	
18	A.	Prior to my tour.	
19	Q.	So what were you doing for 16 hours?	
20	A.	What time?	
21		What date?	
22	Q.	From 0445 through 2250 of the next day nigh	ıt?
23	Α.	I was preparing the arrest booking sheet, the	
24	vouchering	g-and waiting on the ADA, riding ADA.	
25	Q.	The ADA arrived not much longer after the time	. you

	Alfaro - People - cross 594
1	got to the precinct, right?
2	A. I don't recall.
3	Q. Well, while you were waiting for the DA wasn't
4	Detective Shulman waiting for the DA, too?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Why did the two of you have to wait for the DA?
7	A. Because I was the arresting officer.
8	Q. Are you saying you did not prepare this document,
9	you gave this to another officer to prepare, but you told
10	him what to put in, is that correct?
11	A. I didn't prepare the document.
12	Q. But you provided the information that went into
13	the preparation of that document, correct?
14	A. I reviewed the complaint report and also
15	re-interviewed the complainant prior to me starting my
16	on-line booking sheet.
17	Q. You reviewed the document and re-interviewed the
18	complainant.
19	Now, did you tell Officer Morris that Mr. Gopaul
20	should be charged with a misdemeanor, sexual abuse?
21	MS. JOHNSON: Objection. Move to strike all
22	that, Judge.
23	THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.
24	MR SCHECHTER: Please show that to the
25	witness.

;	Alfaro - People - cross 595
1	(Shown to witness.)
2	Q. Now, look on the top there.
3	Did you tell Officer Morris to charge
4	Mr. Gopaul
5	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
6	Q with misdemeanor sexual abuse?
7	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
8	THE COURT: Yeah, objection sustained.
9	MS. JOHNSON: Move to strike that, your
10	Honor.
11	THE COURT: There's no answer, so there's
12	nothing to be stricken.
13	MR. SCHECHTER: May I have that back, please?
14	(Shown to counsel.)
15	Q. So what you're saying to this jury is you spent
16	from 4:45 in the morning until the next night, over 16
17	hours, waiting for the DA and preparing some forms, but not
18	this form, is that correct?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. This form was prepared by another officer?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And on what basis did the other officer prepare
23	this form?
24	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
25	THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.
	wa

			Alfaro - People - cross 596
1		Q.	Now, there came a time when the District Attorney
2	sent	two	representatives to the precinct, is that correct?
3	, 2, 444.4	Α.	Yes.
4		Q.	And you observed that, did you not?
5		Α.	Yes.
6		Q.	And you observed that from outside the room, is
7	that	cor	rect?
8		Α.	Yes.
9		Q.	Detective Shulman was inside the room?
10		A.	Yes.
11		Q.	What were you doing outside the room?
12		A.	Awaiting until they were finished.
13		Q.	So the City of New York was paying the detective
14	to s	it t	here and you to sit there to wait for the same
15	pris	oner	?
16			MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
17			THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.
18		Q.	Police officers threw Mr. Gopaul around, didn't
19	they	, who	en he first came into the precinct?
20	N		MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
21			THE COURT: No, I'll allow that, if she
22		kno	ws.
23		Α.	I was out in the field.
24		Q.	Did you examine Mr. Gopaul's arms and legs?
25		Α.	No.

	Alfaro - People - cross 597
1	Q. Well, do you know what time Mr. Gopaul surrendered
2	to the precinct?
3	A. No.
4	MR SCHECHTER: Can I have a moment, Judge?
5	THE COURT: Yes.
6	(Pause in the proceedings.)
7	Q. When you went to the vehicle with Sana Awan, was
8	anyone else with you?
9	A. I don't recall.
10	Q. Now, how many of these arrests do you participate
11	in?
12	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
13	THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.
14	Q. How many sexual abuse arrests have you done within
15	the past year?
16	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
17	THE COURT: I'll allow it.
18	A. I don't recall.
19	Q. More than one?
20	A. I don't recall.
21	Q. How many arrests do you participate in where the
22	complainant comes to the car and points a knife out to you?
23	How many of those do you participate in, officer?
24	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
25	THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.

1.70

Alfaro - People - cross 598 Officer, you don't know because you're trying to 1 Ο. 2 protect Detective Shulman, isn't that correct? MS. JOHNSON: Objection. 3 THE COURT: No, I'll allow that. 4 Can you rephrase the question? Α. 5 Your absence of knowledge is because you want to 6 Q. protect Detective Shulman? 7 Α. 8 No. Wouldn't you know who was with you when you made 9 Q. an identification of an object? 10 Isn't that part of your job? 11 MS. JOHNSON: Objection. 12 THE COURT: Yeah, sustained. 13 14 Q. You've been a police officer eight years? Α. Yes. 15 In the eight years you've had academy training, 16 you've had training on the job and you've made arrests, have 17 18 you not? 19 Α. Yes. You filled out these on-line booking forms, you're 20 Q. 21 used to testifying in court, are you not? 22 Α. Yes. 23 All right, now, when you testify in court as a Q. trained police officer you're trained to have an 24 observation, right? 25

ws

	Alfaro - People - cross 599
1	You're trained to be observant?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Now, I'm asking you relying on the use of your
4	training.
5	Was Detective Shulman with you when you went to
6	the car?
7	A. I don't recall.
8	Q. Did you have the keys to the car with you when you
9	went to the car?
10	A. I don't recall.
11	Q. You have a difficult memory situation there,
12	officer
13	THE COURT: Mr. Schechter, please, if you
14	could refrain and restrain yourself a little bit.
15	MR SCHECHTER: I have no more questions of
16	this officer, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	Ms. Johnson, any redirect?
19	MS. JOHNSON: No thank you, Judge.
20	THE COURT: All right, Officer Alfaro, watch
21	your step as you step off.
22	Can I just see both attorneys real quick?
23	(Witness excused.)
24	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, your Honor.
25	(Discussion held at the bench, off the

record.)

THE COURT: All right, some good news. Good news is you're going home early today. We've kind of run out of witnesses.

I've been discussing with the attorneys scheduling.

Now, it -- as I'm sure all of you figured out by now, we have moved -- been moving along, notwithstanding some of our delays, pretty quickly and it's anticipated that at this point the People are going to be calling one more witness at which time they're going to rest. That's going to take place tomorrow.

The defendant, should he choose to put on a case, will more than likely start tomorrow. Tomorrow, I think, is Wednesday. So it appears - and I have my fingers crossed, I know you can't see me, but I actually have my fingers crossed under my robes s right now - it appears as though I might be able to get this case to you by the end of the week.

Now, it could be Thursday, could be Friday, we have to see what happens. So obviously when I say we'll get the case to you, summations, my charge and obviously you'll begin your deliberations. So we are significantly ahead of schedule, so that's also a bit

of good news.

But I just want to caution that, you know, jury deliberations have their own life, if you will, and I certainly don't want anyone to feel by any means that there's any kind of pressure or any kind of rush for -- to conclude your deliberations, so it's very important, it's -- I want you to take this, obviously, extremely seriously, as I'm sure you will.

So -- but at least at this point I feel relatively confident that by the end of this week I'll be giving this case for you to decide. So just -- I think it's doubtful at this point, unless deliberations extend, and it's been known to happen, jury deliberations sometimes can go over the course of a couple of days, but I'm clearly, at this point, I think that you should have the case by the end of this week and, again, hopefully we'll -- I'll be able to live up to my word, if you will.

So, at this point I'm going to let you go for the evening.

Again, as I let you go, just remember my admonitions.

Please don't talk amongst yourselves or with anyone else about the case.

Please don't form any opinions.

Please don't do any research on line or through any other means or mechanism.

Please don't view or visit any of the areas described.

Get home safe. We'll see you back here tomorrow at 9:30.

(Jury exits.)

MR. SCHECHTER: Judge, the Police Department refused to accept the subpoena. They wanted 24-hour notice. They didn't like the fact I changed the date to today, so they're giving me a hard time about honoring my subpoena for Detective Moran for tomorrow.

THE COURT: Can I see you for a minute?

MS. JOHNSON: Sure.

(Discussion held at the bench, off the record.)

MR. SCHECHTER: I would like the record to reflect that it was based upon the Court's ruling to me regarding the videotape that I intended to put into evidence that the Court would, in the event I put it into evidence, the Court would then permit the District Attorney to go into those other charged acts that the Court had limited her from putting into evidence. It's for that reason that I did not put these videos into evidence because I felt constrained that if I did so

Proceedings

then all of the other charged crimes would be in,

Judge. I have to make the record clear.

THE COURT: But you seem to have a way of -- and I want to be careful with what I'm going to say, but, you seem to have a kind of creative view of what has transpired with respect to some of my rulings.

And I want to respond to it because I, quite frankly, am starting to think that you're misrepresenting things.

Number one, whether or not the People would be allowed to engage or get into on redirect examination things with respect to matters that I had precluded based upon my Sandoval ruling, I didn't say that that was definitely going to happen.

And, quite frankly, I'm sure you can appreciate it's very difficult for me to make such a ruling when I haven't even seen what was in the video that you wanted to show.

So that has to be made clear that whatever your reasons are, your reasons are, but certainly I don't want to be misrepresented. I'll speak for myself.

I just said to you that to the extent should
I allow any of that material to be played by you, that
it could possibly open the door to the DA, and I think

Proceedings

I said this, to the DA eliciting material that I had heretofore precluded in my Sandoval ruling.

So I don't want it to be represented that I had already made that determination. I couldn't have made that determination because I don't know what was on the DVDs or the videos.

MR SCHECHTER: I volunteered to show it. I showed it to counsel. I'll show it to the Court because I haven't rested yet. I still could either recall Sana Awan or perhaps get them in through another way, but the fact is I would be delighted to show them to the Court. I thought I did so orally.

But your Honor is correct. There's no way that the Court could possibly get, from a verbal description of what's in the video, unless the Court sees what's in the video and I was trying to make that known to the Court.

The videos are little vignettes, they take maybe ten to 20 seconds, and there are maybe six of them, that's all, and they're very short and I only wanted to -- I still will show the Court, we have them here. I would like to show the Court so that the record is clear what it is so that we understand the basis for the ruling and so that the record has no ambiguity and all and I do apologize if the Court

ws

thinks I misrepresented its position. It was not my intention.

I was under the impression based upon what your Honor had said that were I to put these in evidence I might be opening the door to the inclusion of the other charged acts and I certainly didn't want to do that.

However, that said, I would like to play them for the Court so that the Court could see what they contain.

THE COURT: Well, again, going back to what we discussed before while Ms. Awan was still on the witness stand, it' my impression, and correct me if I'm acting under a misimpression, that these vignettes, as you've characterized them, cover periods in 2006, 2007 and you indicated that it was the last quarter of 2007 into the first --

MR SCHECHTER: No, your Honor, I said, I believe, November or the end of 2007 to the first quarter of 2008. I believe the last one was somewhere around March, if I can recall, and the reason why I wanted them put into evidence is because the People have, in their opening statements and additionally through the testimony of Sana Awan, have represented that the fear that she had was continual from May

ws

purpose that I wanted to show them.

Proceedings

through -- rather, from 2005 through June of 2008 and it was on that basis I wanted to show someone under this kind of fear and terror, these videos certainly belie that fear, that continual fear that counsel wanted to demonstrate to the jury, and that's the

THE COURT: Well, at the risk of beating a dead horse, as the expression goes, as I indicated, if these videos were depicting the complainant at a time that was subsequent, if you will, and I even said before, either the acts in Queens that I allowed the People to elicit and what's contained in the indictment, which I certainly would think you would be entitled to because she did make reference to being threatened during the period of time in May and June of 2008, I would certainly allow you to do that and it sounds from what you're telling me is that these vignettes, the latest they go to in 2008 -- that they don't cover anything in 2005, 2006, 2007.

MR SCHECHTER: Well, they do, the last part.

THE COURT: The last part of 2007 and you indicate that they go back as far as almost recent to the indictment as March of 2008.

MR SCHECHTER: I believe, if I can recall, that was approximately when the last one was.

ws

Proceedings

THE COURT: Well, I am -- what I will do -- as far as I'm concerned, my ruling stands,

Mr. Schechter.

Now that I've got the minutes, I did look at them before I ruled on -- made my ruling earlier.

Other than the incidents in May and June of 2008, I don't really think that there has been testimony where Sana Awan talks about being in fear for her physical safety and, in essence, the DVDs or the items that you want to play for the jury seem to cover a period of time that really there's been no testimony about at this point.

I mean, there's been no testimony in the end of 2007 and in 2008 it picks up in May, so you're basically asking me to have the jury see snippets of encounters that she had with your client and her family that at a period of time that really is either not relevant in the Molineaux application and certainly not relevant in terms of the indictment.

MR. SCHECHTER: Well, your Honor, our positions are clear and your ruling is clear and I can just only except. That's why I wanted to play them for the Court so the Court could see the way the complaining witness was reacting to her stepfather at times when she alleges she was, in fact, abused and --

	Proceedings 608
1	THE COURT: Well, would you agree with me the
2	only time she alleged the only time that
3	testimony was elicited about the abuse was in I think
4	'05.
5	MR SCHECHTER: I believe, if I recall, your
6	Honor, there was another question counsel asked
7	concerning kitchen knife and I don't believe that was
8	in 2005. I believe that was in 2008 that she was
9	threatened with a kitchen knife. Counsel did extract
10	that information from her.
11	THE COURT: When in 2008?
12	MR SCHECHTER: I don't recall, your Honor. I
13	don't recall. I would have to look at the minutes.
14	THE COURT: All right, and it sounded as
15	though at some point in afternoon it sounded as
16	though you were going to be ordering the minutes.
17	MR SCHECHTER: Yes, I am.
18	THE COURT: All right, so when you get those
19	minutes, if there's something you want to point out to
20	me I will certainly reconsider and let you renew your
21	application. I'll certainly take a look at what I have
22	at this point.
23	MR SCHECHTER: Okay, your Honor, thank you.
24	Anything else we need to take up?
25	MS. JOHNSON: No.

	Proceedings	609
1	THE COURT: You'll make sure Detectiv	re
2	Shulman is here tomorrow?	
3	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, Judge.	
4	MR SCHECHTER: Thank you, Judge.	
5	(Proceedings adjourned to Wednesday,	
6	May 13th, 2009 at 9:30 a.m.)	
7	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		Wd

ws

	610
1	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
2	COUNTY OF NASSAU : CRIMINAL TERM PART 80
3	X
4	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, : Indictment : No. 2415N/09
5	-against- : :
6	HAROLD GOPAUL, : Sex Abuse 1 :
7	Defendant. : Trial
8	May 13, 2009
9	252 Old Country Road
10	Mineola, New York
11	BEFORE:
12	HONORABLE JAMES P. McCORMACK,
13	Acting Supreme Court Justice
14	
15	APPEARANCES:
16	(As previously noted.)
17	* * * *
18	THE COURT: The record should reflect that
19	myself and counsel have had a conference back in my
20	chambers.
21	What I brought to both counsel's attention is
22	information that was imparted to me at one point that
23	yesterday afternoon that one of our sworn jurors, it's
24	believed to be Juror Number 8, had made a comment to
25	the officer as the jurors were assembling to come
	N/C

ws

upstairs, to him only, and according to my officer not in the presence of any other jurors, a comment along the lines that, "Why don't we just take this person and shoot him, or, "Take this guy and shoot him."

I'm assuming that this juror was making reference to the defendant.

My court officer said, "Please don't say anything further, don't discuss it with me," and then at some point yesterday brought it to my attention.

I've advised both counsel that what I intend to do is ask this juror to come back here. I want to ask him as to whether or not he's formed a final opinion about the case at this point without having the benefit of either hearing the defense case, summations or my instructions on the law and I want to also ask him if he's formed a bias, if you will, a prejudice at this point, that he feels he can no longer sit in this particular case.

I'll ask him as to whether or not he's discussed the evidence that's been testified to and that's been introduced at this point with his fellow jurors and, depending upon his answers, I'll make further inquiry, if necessary.

MR. SCHECHTER: Your Honor, respectfully request, since this man has apparently vocalized very

extreme views concerning my -- apparently my client, because he's the only one here being judged at this point, that Mr. Casesa's opinion might have affected the entire jury, thus, notwithstanding any of his answers, that the jurors be polled to see if he discussed any of his opinions with them because I don't expect Mr. Casesa to come in here and admit he engaged in that kind of conduct.

THE COURT: Well, you're assuming that he's discussed the evidence with his -- with the other jurors.

As I indicated a moment ago, I'm certainly intending to ask him that question and, depending upon his response, I'll take what other steps are necessary.

But I'm not going to, at your request, at this point start poling the jurors as to whether or not he has discussed the evidence with them at this point without having the benefit of hearing from him.

Artie, if you would ask Chris, if he's with the jury, ask him to come up with all of his belongings.

MR. SCHECHTER: I would like the record to reflect, your Honor, that my client has, in fact, executed an Antommarchi waiver and this conference is being held in your Honor's chambers.

1	My client has been informed by me the purpose
2	of this conference, so it's not as if my client has not
3	been informed as to what's going on.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	(Juror enters.)
6	THE COURT: Hi, Mr. Casesa, come on in.
7	It is Mr. Casesa, right?
8	THE JUROR: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Come on in, relax.
10	Mr. Casesa, I brought you up here because it
11	came to my attention yesterday that you had made a
12	comment to one of my officers about
13	THE JUROR: Oh, I'm sorry.
14	THE COURT: Let me just finish, that, you
15	know, made a comment that and I'm not trying to put
16	words in your mouth, but something about, "Why don't we
17	just take this person out and shoot him," or words to
18	that effect.
19	THE JUROR: It was stupid on my side. Sorry
20	THE COURT: And I take it by that that you
21	did say that, that that was something
22	MS. JOHNSON: I did, out of outrage or
23	something
24	THE COURT: Look, it's not uncommon for
25	people to, you know, form certain tentative opinions.

614 Obviously, we're concerned that you've formed 1 a final opinion about the case without having heard the 2 defendant's case, if they decide to put one on, without 3 hearing the summations and the instructions on the law 4 and I'm obviously very concerned about that. 5 Have you come to a final conclusion at this 6 7 point? THE JUROR: No, no. 8 THE COURT: All right. Now, my other concern 9 is have you discussed the evidence at this point in the 10 11 case with other jurors? THE JUROR: No. 12 13 THE COURT: Or have you made similar comments to other jurors? 14 15 THE JUROR: No, no. THE COURT: That's another concern of mine. 16 17 Okay, all right, and you're telling me the 18 truth? 19 THE JUROR: Yeah, sure. 20 THE COURT: All right, and you feel at this 21 point that you could be fair and impartial to both 22 sides? 23 THE JUROR: I would like to hear the rest of it, the defense, to make up my mind, but that was 24

just -- I don't know why that came out. I don't even

615 know why. 1 THE COURT: All right, look, again, we're all 2 human, as I said to you during jury selection, and if I 3 recall correctly during jury selection I know that 4 you -- and I don't know if it was you, but you'll tell 5 me if it wasn't, that you had said -- you may have been 6 7 asked by one of the attorneys as to whether or not you could be fair and impartial if you heard certain 8 emotional testimony. 9 10 THE JUROR: I did. That was me, yes. THE COURT: And you seemed to be concerned 11 12 about that. 13 THE JUROR: Little bit. But now it's, you 14 know, I hear more things and, you know, it's like --15 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you do me a 16 favor, Mr. Casesa, just have a seat in the courtroom. 17 I need to discuss some things with the attorneys and 18 then I'll have you back, okay? 19 THE JUROR: Sure. Thank you. 20 THE COURT: Just follow my officer outside. 21 (Juror exits.) 22 THE COURT: Mr. Schechter, what's your pleasure? 23 24 MR. SCHECHTER: First of all, I would like 25 him excused and replaced by an alternate.

But, secondly, I would respectfully ask the Court make inquiry of the entire jury to see if, in fact, they were infected with this man's comments, notwithstanding his representations that he did not discuss either his opinions or the evidence with the other jurors. I am concerned that he might have infected the other jurors and perhaps tainted them in some way because of his apparently extreme opinions.

THE COURT: Well, I think the record should reflect that rather than denying making the statement, Mr. Casesa was actually fairly candid and readily acknowledged the fact that he did say that.

I then asked him whether or not he expressed any opinion about the evidence with fellow jurors. He said no.

I then asked him if he expressed any similar comments to the jurors and, again, he said no and I asked him a third time if he was telling me the truth and he said he was.

The officer that he made the comment to is here, Mr. Kenneth Gordon, and, Ken, I believe that when he made that comment to you there was no other jurors.

COURT OFFICER: There was nobody around. He was separate and apart from everybody.

THE COURT: So, People?

617 MS. JOHNSON: We consent to having him 1 excused and replaced with the alternate. 2 THE COURT: Do we know who our first 3 alternate is? 4 THE CLERK: It would be Dennis Hearn. 5 THE COURT: Just so the record is clear, I'm 6 going to grant your application, Mr. Schechter. I'll 7 excuse Mr. Casesa with our thanks. 8 I'm not going to grant the second part of 9 10 your application to conduct an inquiry of the remainder of the jury. I think the record is pretty clear that 11 he has not made such comments to them. 12 13 MR. SCHECHTER: Please note my exception. 14 THE COURT: And at this point I don't see the necessity to do this at this point. 15 16 People, you are consenting to the defendant's 17 application with respect to excusing Mr. Casesa? 18 MS. JOHNSON: We consent to that, yes. THE COURT: All right, so -- off the record. 19 20 MR. SCHECHTER: Would the Court please direct Mr. Casesa to have no other contact with the other 21 22 jurors? THE COURT: He's got all of his stuff. 23 That's why I asked him to come up here with everything. 24 25 Kenny, if you would just ask him not to go

downstairs and that -- what would he do, just report to central jury?

THE CLERK: He doesn't have to. He could just go home, if he wants.

COURT OFFICER: I'll walk him down out the door, Judge.

THE COURT: All right, let's bring him back.

(Juror enters.)

THE COURT: Mr. Casesa, out of an abundance of caution, and I want to first of all thank you for your candor, I think it's probably best, at this point, that I excuse you from the case and, again, with my thanks and I just think at this point it's probably best.

I know you said that you felt that you could still remain to be fair and impartial, but obviously, you know, the comment or -- the comment is one that obviously concerns the parties in the case and that's why we pick alternates.

So I don't want you to think that because of it the trial is not going to go on, it is, and -- but I want to thank you and what I'm going to ask you to do -- you're excused at this point. You can leave. My officer will, you know, tell you where to go.

I think you brought up all your belongings

619 from downstairs? 1 THE JUROR: Yeah. 2 3 THE COURT: And, again, you'll get the benefit of enjoying this beautiful day more than us. 4 So thank you very much and I appreciate your 5 service. 6 7 THE JUROR: Okay, thank you. Bye-bye. Have 8 a good day. (Juror excused.) 9 THE COURT: All right, we'll replace 10 11 Mr. Casesa with Mr. Hearn and if either of you want I 12 would just direct the jury that they may notice that there's one of our alternates has replaced the seated 13 14 juror, they're not to speculate upon why or draw any 15 conclusions or inferences, if you want. If you don't 16 want me to, I won't. 17 MR. SCHECHTER: I think that that instruction 18 would be good. 19 MS. JOHNSON: I have no problem with that. 20 (Conference in chambers concludes.) 21 (Pause the proceedings.) 22 (Jury enters.) 23 THE CLERK: Case on trial, People of the 24 State of New York against Harold Gopaul, 25 Indictment 2415N of 2008.

620 Are both counsel ready to proceed? 1 2 MS. JOHNSON: Ready. THE COURT: All right, good morning, members 3 of the jury. 4 You'll obviously notice that, Mr. Hearn, you 5 have now, as our first alternate, have now been seated 6 7 as Juror Number 8. I don't want anybody to speculate, obviously, 8 why prior Juror Number 8 has been excused. I don't 9 10 want anybody to speculate. It's of no moment or no 11 concern to you and you should not draw any inference 12 over the fact that one of our sworn jurors has been 13 replaced by an alternate. 14 So at this point we're ready to proceed. 15 Ms. Johnson, if you would? 16 MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, People call 17 Detective Lennard Shulman. 18 THE COURT: Detective Shulman. 19 L E O N A R D S H U L M A N, a witness called on behalf of 20 the People, having been first duly sworn by the 21 clerk of the Court, was examined and testified under 22 oath as follows: 23 COURT OFFICER: Okay, have a seat please. 24 For the record, state your name, spell your 25 last name, your shield number, your rank and command.

	Shulman - People - direct 621
7	THE HITCHESS. Detective Lennard Chulman
1	THE WITNESS: Detective Lennard Shulman,
2	L-e-n-n-a-r-d, S-h-u-l-m-a-n, Shield 6387, assigned to
3	the 105 Precinct detective squad of the New York City
4	Police Department.
5	THE COURT: Ms. Johnson?
6	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you.
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. JOHNSON
9	Q. Good morning, Detective Shulman.
10	A. Good morning.
11	Q. How long have you been employed by the New York
12	City Police Department?
13	A. Approximately the last 15-1/2.
14	Q. Throughout the last 15-1/2 years could you tell us
15	the nature of the assignments you've worked and appointments
16	you worked in?
17	A. October of 1993 I was assigned as a probationary
18	police officer to the Police Academy.
19	On March of '94 I graduated the Police Academy and
20	was assigned to the 104 Patrol Precinct as a uniformed
21	officer.
22	In November of 1997 I was assigned to a city-wide
23	anti-crime unit as a police officer.
24	In June of 1999 I was promoted to detective
25	specialist within the same unit.

	Shulman - People - direct 622
1	In April of 2002 I was promoted to detective
2	investigator and assigned to the 105 Precinct detective
3	squad as a case investigator and February of '08 my
4	assignment was changed to homicide and shooting investigator
5	and in March of '08 I was promoted to second grade
6	detective.
7	Q. What is your current title?
8	A. Detective second grade assigned as a homicide
9	investigator in the 105 Precinct detective squad.
10	Q. And what are your general duties in that capacity
11	while assigned to the 105?
12	A. Primarily to investigate homicide and shooting
13	cases and to also investigate any other cases that are
14	referred to my office.
15	Q. Where is the 105 located?
16	A. In Queens County in Queens Village.
17	Q. I'm going to direct your attention to June 23rd,
18	2008.
19	Were you working that day?
20	A. Yes, I was.
21	Q. Where were you working?
22	A. I was assigned to the 105 Precinct detective
23	squad.
24	Q. As a detective?
25	A. Yes.

	Shulman - People - direct 623
1	Q. What were your general responsibilities as a
2	detective on that particular day?
3	A. Again, I was assigned as a homicide investigator
4	and overall investigator to assist with any cases coming
5	into my office.
6	Q. Did that include interviewing victims of crimes?
7	A. Yes, it did.
8	Q. And processing paperwork?
9	A. Yes, it did.
10	Q. Did there come a time on June 23rd, 2008 that you
11	were assigned to a particular investigation?
12	A. On the morning of June 21st 24th, about 2:30 ir
13	the morning, I was contacted by the detective bureau,
14	Queens, and informed that there was
15	MR. SCHECHTER: Objection.
16	THE COURT: Yeah, just don't tell us what
17	they said.
18	Q. What was your tour that day?
19	A. I was working a 1627, which would be 4:27 p.m., or
20	the afternoon of June 23rd of '08 and I was working until
21	0100, 1 a.m., on the morning of the 24th.
22	Q. Without telling us the details of what you were
23	informed, what was the nature of the case that you were
24	assigned to?
25	A. It was a sexual allegation involving a 17-year-old

Shulman - People - direct 624 female complainant and an allegation against her stepfather. 1 2 Q. What was the name of that complainant? 3 Α. Sana Awan. And who was the subject of that investigation? 4 Q. Her stepfather, Harold Gopaul. 5 Α. 6 Do you see that individual in the courtroom today? Q. 7 THE WITNESS: Can I move, your Honor? 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 (Witness steps down.) 10 MR. SCHECHTER: Acknowledging Mr. Gopaul. 11 THE COURT: All right, can you see 12 Mr. Gopaul, detective? 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 14 THE COURT: The record will reflect that 15 identification. 16 (Witness resumes the stand.) 17 Q. Detective, throughout the course of your tour on 18 June 23rd into the 24th on 2008, did there come a time that 19 you came in contact with this woman Sana Awan? 20 Α. Yes, there did. 21 Ο. Can you tell us the circumstances of your contact 22 with her? 23 I initially had spoken to an ACS case worker that was in the precinct investigating the same matter and after 24 25 concluding my conversation with the ACS worker I spoke to

:	Shulman - People - direct 625
1	Ms. Sana Awan up in an interview room in the 105 Precinct
2	detective squad.
3	Q. What was her emotional state at the time you first
4	encountered Ms. Awan?
5	A. She seemed very scared, seemingly emotional. She
6	had some injuries to both of her arms, like welt marks on
7	both arms.
8	Q. What was it about her that led you to believe that
9	she was scared or upset?
10	A. Her demeanor and her physical actions.
11	Q. Did you have the opportunity to actually interview
12	Sana?
13	A. I did.
14	Q. And was that done in private?
15	A. Yes, it was.
16	Q. Can you tell us the nature of what she reported to
17	you?
18	MR. SCHECHTER: Objection.
19	THE COURT: Yes, sustained.
20	Q. Did she make a complaint to you?
21	MR. SCHECHTER: Objection, trying to get
22	around hearsay, Judge.
23	THE COURT: Yeah, allow it to the extent of a
24	yes or no without getting into the details of it.
25	Q. Did she inform you why she was at the precinct?

	Shulman - People - direct 626
1	A. Yes, she did.
2	Q. Did she without telling us what she said did
3	she tell you the details of why she was at the precinct?
4	A. She did, yes.
5	Q. And did she tell you who she was referring to?
6	A. Yes, she did.
7	Q. Without telling us what she said did she describe
8	certain physical objects to you?
9	MR. SCHECHTER: Judge, at this point I got to
10	object.
11	THE COURT: Just, please, say objection.
12	MR. SCHECHTER: Objection.
13	THE COURT: Yeah, I'm going to sustain that.
14	Q. Did she give you details without telling us
15	what they were, did she give you details about what she was
16	reporting?
17	MR. SCHECHTER: Objection.
18	THE COURT: Yeah, overruled.
19	That's a yes or no.
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Detective, did you memorialize your interview with
22	Ms. Awan in writing?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And did you memorialize without telling us what
25	she said, did you memorialize the details of what she told
	ws

Shulman - People - direct 627 you in writing? 1 2 Α. Yes. Did there come a time while you were interviewing 3 Q. Ms. Awan that the defendant came into the police precinct? 4 Α. Yes. 5 6 How were you notified about that? Q. 7 Sergeant O'Hagan of the 105 Precinct contacted me Α. and informed me that Mr. Harold Gopaul had come into the 8 precinct and was taken into custody. 9 10 Q. Where were you when he informed you of that? 11 Α. I was in my office. 12 Where was Ms. Awan? Q. 13 She was in my office in an interview room. Α. 14 Ο. Did there come a time when you did have contact with the defendant? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. Can you tell us when that happened? 18 Α. That was June 24th at approximately 5:10 in the 19 morning. 20 Was that before or after you had interviewed Ο. 21 Ms. Awan? 22 Α. I had spoken to Ms. Awan prior to that and subsequent to that I interviewed her again. 23 Was it before or after she told you why she was --24 Q. 25 MR. SCHECHTER: Objection, once again.

628 Shulman - People - direct THE COURT: All right, Mr. Schechter. I 1 can't make a ruling unless I hear the entire question. 2 MR. SCHECHTER: Well, your Honor, I would 3 like to be heard on the record then, if I could, so --4 THE COURT: All right, come on up here. 5 COURT OFFICER: Detective, step down, please. 6 (Witness steps down.) 7 (Sidebar conference held as follows:) 8 MR. SCHECHTER: Ms. Johnson is attempting to 9 10 engage in not only getting in indirectly hearsay information, but she's engaging in improper bolstering 11 12 of Ms. Awan's testimony through this officer and that, 13 I respectfully submit, is improper and all of that line 14 of questioning with respect to his communications with 15 Sana Awan at this point is further designed to do the 16 very same thing and I haven't asked for a mistrial yet, 17 but if it keeps going I will, Judge. 18 MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, what I was going to 19 ask him was whether or not he spoke to defendant before 20 or after he spoke to Sana Awan about why she was at the 21 precinct. 22 It's absolutely relevant that he spoke to her before and she gave these details before he ever spoke 23 to the defendant, especially when he's saying these 24 25 statements were coerced.

	Shulman - People - direct 629
1	MR. SCHECHTER: She already elicited that.
2	THE COURT: All right, look, I'll allow you
3	to ask questions regarding his interaction.
4	I'm not going to allow you to get out in some
5	indirect fashion the content of what she says.
6	MS. JOHNSON: Judge, my point
7	THE COURT: I mean, for a number of reasons.
8	MS. JOHNSON: My purpose is for the timing of
9	it, but, even so, counsel has made it quite clear
10	through his cross-examination of the victim that she
11	didn't disclose certain information to the detectives
12	so I believe it absolutely is relevant that she told
13	him those details.
14	Obviously, I respect your Honor's ruling, but
15	the fact she told him those details goes absolutely to
16	the voluntariness of his statement and the fact
17	THE COURT: You can ask him whether or not
18	whether or not before speaking to the defendant he had
19	interviewed Sana Awan.
20	MR. SCHECHTER: She already asked that.
21	THE COURT: I think that's already been
22	established.
23	MR. SCHECHTER: As far as what I'm doing, I
24	never alleged that what she told Detective Shulman
25	it was only as to Moran, so what I counsel is doing is

	Shulman - People - direct 630
1	improper.
2	THE COURT: I think it's clear he spoke to
3	the complainant before the interviews.
4	Is that what you're looking to establish?
5	MS. JOHNSON: Not what the details were, but
6	she gave him the details of her complaint before he
7	spoke to the defendant and that's my exact question.
8	THE COURT: And you just asked him, "Did you
9	take a written statement from her? Did you memorialize
10	your conversations with her."
11	MS. JOHNSON: But then he said he wasn't done
12	interviewing her, he went back, so I just wanted to
13	make it clear that he had almost completely interviewed
14	her about the details before he spoke to the defendant.
15	THE COURT: I mean, I'll allow it just as
16	long as it doesn't start getting to the point where the
17	details become apparent.
18	MS. JOHNSON: That's my last question.
19	MR. SCHECHTER: That's what she's doing. I
20	think we reached that point and that's why I made my
21	objection.
22	MS. JOHNSON: I'll preface by saying without
23	saying any details.
24	THE COURT: I'll make my rulings.
25	(Sidebar conference concludes.)

631 Shulman - People - direct (Witness resumes the stand.) 1 THE COURT: Ms. Johnson, do you want to 2 3 rephrase or repeat the question? MS. JOHNSON: Yes, Judge. 4 Detective Shulman, without telling us what anybody Q. 5 told you, did you have a detailed interview with Sana before 6 7 you met with the defendant? Α. I did. 8 Can you tell the jury where it was that you first 9 came into contact with the defendant? 10 In an interview room inside the 105 Precinct 11 Α. 12 detective squad. 13 Can you describe for us what that interview room looked like? 14 15 I'm going to guesstimate that maybe it's nine or 16 ten feet wide by maybe, you know, ten feet deep. There's a 17 couple of chairs and a table in the room in between the chairs. 18 19 Q. And why was it that the defendant was in that 20 room? 21 My other interview room was occupied by Ms. Awan. Α. 22 Were they kept separate the entire time? Q. 23 Yes. Α. 24 Who was in the room with the defendant when you first encountered him? 25

Shulman - People - direct 632 He was in the room by himself and there was one of 1 2 the patrol officers from downstairs was securing the door. Was he handcuffed? 3 Ο. No, he was not. 4 Α. What was he wearing? 5 Q. He was wearing some sort of bluish uniform that 6 Α. had Ecolab patches on his arms. 7 8 Q. What was he doing? He was just sitting in a chair at a table. 9 Α. 10 Q. Was he sleeping? 11 Α. No. 12 Ο. When you went into that room where was your 13 weapon? It was locked up and secured in my office outside 14 Α. 15 of the interview room. 16 Q. Why? 17 Because I was going to interview a prisoner and Α. 18 it's protocol not to have a weapon on you when you interview a prisoner. 19 20 Is that Police Department procedure? Q. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. When you went into the room to speak to the defendant what was -- what did you say to him? 23 24 I introduced myself to him. I indicated to him Α. 25 that I was conducting an investigation involving his

ws

Shulman - People - direct 633 daughter Sana Awan and that before I questioned him I needed 1 2 to read him Miranda warnings. What was his response to you? 3 0. He acknowledged that I was going to read him Α. 4 something and then, you know, I proceeded to read Miranda 5 6 warnings to him. 7 MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I'm going to ask this be marked as People's 6 for identification 8 9 purposes. THE COURT: People's 6 for ID. 10 (People's Exhibit 6 marked for 11 12 identification.) 13 (Shown to witness.) 0. Detective, if you could take a look at People's 6 14 for identification. 15 16 Do you recognize that? 17 Α. Yes, I do. 18 What do you recognize it to be? Q. It's the original copy of the Miranda warnings 19 20 that I used to read the Miranda warnings to Mr. Gopaul on 21 the morning of June 24th of '08. 22 How do you know that? Q. 23 My handwriting appears on it as well as my 24 signature and the shield number that I affixed upon it. Is that the original? 25 Q.

Shulman - People - direct 634 This is the original. 1 And is it in the same or substantially the same 2 Q. condition as it was on June 23rd 2008 -- 23rd into the 24th 3 2008? 4 5 Yes. Α. MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, at this time we 6 7 offer People's 6 into evidence. THE COURT: Show it to Mr. Schechter. 8 9 (Shown to counsel.) MR. SCHECHTER: May I have a voir dire? 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 12 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. SCHECHTER: Detective Shulman, I notice on this piece of paper 14 Ο. 15 there's a word yes and initials next to it. 16 Who wrote the yes? 17 Α. I did. 18 And who wrote the initials? Q. Mr. Gopaul. 19 Α. Isn't it a fact that those yeses were put on this 20 Q. 21 form prior to you going into the room? 22 Α. Absolutely not. 23 MR. SCHECHTER: I'm going to object to this 24 document, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: All right, over objection, the

WS

	Shulman - People - direct 635
1	People's 6 will be received in evidence.
2	(People's Exhibit 6 received in evidence.)
3	(Shown to witness.)
4	MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I'm going to be
5	utilizing the overhead. I don't know, with the Court's
6	permission and the officers, if the detective could
7	step down if he can't see it from there?
8	THE COURT: So why don't you give that,
9	detective, to my officer?
10	You want to use the overhead?
11	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, Judge.
12	THE COURT: All right, detective, why don't
13	you just step down and maybe you could stand so you're
14	not blocking the jury.
15	(Witness steps down.)
16	THE COURT: Just right where you are.
17	If you need, Detective Shulman, to get closer
18	to that overhead projector you could just kind of move
19	closer back.
20	I don't know what questions Ms. Johnson is
21	going to ask, but I'm assuming at some point she's
22	going to ask you to make reference to that document.
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION CONT'D
24	BY MS. JOHNSON:
25	Q. Detective, if you could take a look at People's 6

Shulman - People - direct 636 in evidence that's on projector? 1 Could you read for this jury how it was that you 2 read Miranda warnings to the defendant on June 24th, 2008 3 and include his responses to your questions? 4 5 Okay. I just said to Mr. Gopaul, "I'm going to read to you Miranda warnings. I need for to you answer 6 7 clearly yes or no, you understand the questions I'm asking you." 8 9 I then said, "Question 1. You have the right to remain silent and refuse to answer questions. Do you 10 11 understand?" 12 Mr. Gopaul replied yes. I wrote his yes answer. 13 I then said, "Question Number 2. Anything you do say may be used against you in a court of law. Do you 14 understand?" 15 Mr. Gopaul answered yes. I wrote his answer yes 16 17 down. I said, "Question Number 3. You have the right to 18 consult an attorney before speaking to the police and to 19 20 have an attorney present during any questioning now or in the future. Do you understand? 21 22 Mr. Gopaul replied yes. I wrote his yes answer. 23 "Question 4. If you cannot afford an attorney one will be provided for you without cost. Do you understand?" 24 25 Mr. Gopaul replied yes and I wrote his yes answer.

Shulman - People - direct 637 I said, "Question 5. If you do not have an 1 attorney available you have the right to remain silent until 2 you have an opportunity to consult with one. Do you 3 understand?" 4 Mr. Gopaul replied yes and I wrote his yes answer 5 on the paper. 6 7 "Question 6. Now that I have advised you of your rights are you willing to answer questions?" 8 9 Mr. Gopaul replied yes at that time and I wrote his yes answer down at that time. 10 Can you tell us what this 0510 on the top right 11 12 hand corner is? 13 I wrote the time down as being 5:10 a.m. as the Α. 14 time I was starting to read the Miranda warnings to 15 Mr. Gopaul. And can you tell us on the bottom of that exhibit 16 17 who -- can you tell us who put those markings on the bottom 18 of that card, including the defendant's name, the signature, 19 the date, the times and your information? 20 THE WITNESS: If I could just for one moment, 21 to complete my answer from the previous question, your 22 Honor? 23 THE COURT: Yes. 24 THE WITNESS: After completing all six 25 questions and Mr. Gopaul answering yes to all six

	Shulman - People - direct 638
1	questions, I then said, "I would like you to read it
2	and make sure that your answers to these questions
3	read it yourself."
4	I handed the piece of paper to Mr. Gopaul.
5	He said each question holding the paper up to himself.
6	He then indicated each of his answers were yes and that
7	he still wanted to speak to me.
8	I then said to Mr. Gopaul, "If those are your
9	answers, if you could please initial those as your
10	answers and if you could place your printed name and
11	signed name on the bottom that you're affirming that
12	you've been read these rights."
13	Mr. Gopaul placed his initials next to each
14	yes answer I had written. He then printed and signed
15	his name on the lower portion.
16	Upon that completion I did then sign my name
17	and place my shield number next to my name as witness
18	and then I did note the date and time that the warnings
19	were completed being read and signed.
20	Q. Is that what that 0515 means?
21	A. Yes, as indicating it's 5:15 in the morning.
22	Q. You can have a seat.
23	(Witness resumes the stand.)
24	Q. Detective Shulman, did you observe the defendant
25	look at that piece of paper prior to him signing it?

Shulman - People - direct 639 Yes, I did. 1 Α. 2 Did you observe him mark his signature on that Q. document? 3 Α. Yes, I did. 4 At any time did the defendant indicate to you that 5 Q. he no longer wished to speak with you? 6 7 Α. No, he did not. 8 Ο. At any time did he indicate he wished to speak to 9 an attorney? 10 Α. No, he did not. 11 At any time or -- was any physical force used upon Ο. 12 him? 13 No, it was not. Α. 14 Were any promises made to him? Q. 15 Α. No. 16 Were his answers in English? Q. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Did -- at any point did he indicate he did not Q. 19 understand you? 20 No, he did not. Α. 21 At any point did he indicate to you he had a 22 question for you? 23 Α. No, he did not. 24 At the time that these Miranda warnings were being 25 issued to the defendant did he ever complain of pain?

ws

	Shulman - People - direct 640
1	A. No.
2	Q. Did he ever ask to receive medical attention?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Did you ever observe any injuries on him?
5	A. No, I did not.
6	Q. After People's 6 in evidence was issued to this
7	defendant can you tell us what was the next conversation you
8	had with him?
9	A. I then said to Mr. Gopaul that I also had that
10	the investigation concerned something to do with the vehicle
11	he was in possession with and his home and that I was going
12	to ask him I was going to need him to waive and give me
13	consent to search both the vehicle and the home.
14	Q. Was this before or after he signed that Miranda
15	card?
16	A. After.
17	MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I would ask that
18	this be marked as People's 7 for identification
19	purposes.
20	THE COURT: People's 7.
21	(People's Exhibit 7 marked for
22	identification.)
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	Q. Detective, if you could take a look at People's 7
25	for identification.
1	ii

Shulman - People - direct 641 (Shown to witness.) 1 Do you recognize that? 2 Q. I do. 3 Α. What do you recognize it to be? 4 Q. It is a consent form that I filled in some 5 information and then read to Mr. Gopaul when asking him to 6 7 consent to search the vehicle that he was the legal custodian of at the time. 8 9 Ο. How do you know that? My handwriting appears on the top on the portions 10 that I filled in and after Mr. Gopaul said he acknowledged 11 12 what I was reading to him, he did print and sign his name on 13 the bottom. He did date -- he did place the date and time and 14 then I did affix my signature and my shield number as 15 witness to his signature. 16 17 Is that the original? Q. 18 Yes, it is. Α. 19 Is it in the same or substantially the same 20 condition it was on June 24th, 2008? 21 Yes, it is. Α. 22 MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, we offer People's 7 23 into evidence. 24 MR. SCHECHTER: May I see the document, 25 please, your Honor?

	Shulman - People - direct 642
1	THE COURT: Yes.
2	(Shown to counsel.)
3	MR. SCHECHTER: May I have a voir dire?
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. SCHECHTER:
7	Q. Now, Detective Shulman, this document was executed
8	subsequent to the Miranda warnings, is that correct?
9	A. Yes.
10	MR. SCHECHTER: On that basis I'm going to
11	object to this document, Judge.
12	THE COURT: All right, over objection,
13	People's 7 will be received in evidence.
14	(People's Exhibit 7 received in evidence.)
15	Q. Detective Shulman, subsequent means after, right?
16	A. That's correct.
17	MS. JOHNSON: I'm going to ask to put that on
18	the projector again.
19	THE COURT: Do you need him to step down
20	again?
21	MS. JOHNSON: It's up to the detective if he
22	can see or he can't see.
23	THE COURT: I don't think he's going to see
24	it from where he's sitting.
25	MS. JOHNSON: With the Court's permission

	Shulman - People - direct 643
1	THE COURT: Detective, why don't you just
2	step down here like you did before
3	(Witness steps down.)
4	Q. Detective Shulman, can you tell the members of the
5	jury what is depicted on People's 7 in evidence and how it
6	was that the defendant was advised of his rights with that
7	consent form?
8	A. Okay, I had a pre-printed consent form that is
9	used in the regular course of police business.
10	I wrote in Mr. Gopaul's name in my handwriting. I
11	wrote in the vehicle information on the vehicle that I was
12	informed that he was using and that he was in possession of.
13	After filling in some of those blanks I then said
14	to Mr. Gopaul, "I'm going to read you a consent form to
15	search your vehicle and I read, you know, 'I, Harold Gopaul,
16	am the owner/legal custodian of a 2006 Dodge Ram
17	model vehicle bearing license plate number 22726J, as in
18	John, V, as in Victor," I believe it says, and, "'VIN number
19	1D, as in David, 7H, as in Henry, A, as in Adam, A '"
20	THE WITNESS: I'm having a hard time reading
21	it on this screen, your Honor?
22	THE COURT: Yes, why don't you just pick it
23	up?
24	Members of the jury, all of these exhibits
25	that are received in evidence, just so you know, will

Shulman - People - direct 644

be available to you during your deliberations, so I don't want you to think that you won't be able to see it, touch it, so.

Go ahead, detective.

- A. Again, VIN number 1D, as in David, 7H, as in Henry, A, as in Adam, 16N, as in Nancy, X, as in x-ray, 6J, as in John, 220067.
 - Q. Will you be able to see the rest?
- A. Yeah, I just couldn't read those particular numbers.
 - O. And what did you advise the defendant?
- A. Okay, "Which is currently located at the side of the 105 Precinct."

I then said to Mr. Gopaul, "I have been duly advised of my rights to one, refuse such consent; two, require that a search warrant be obtained prior to any search; three, that if I do consent to a search any evidence found as a result of such search can and will be used against me in any criminal proceeding; four, that I may withdraw my consent to search any time prior to this conclusion."

I then read, "I knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive my above rights and consent and authorize Detective," and I wrote my name, "Shulman or his duly authorized agent of the New York City Police Department to

Shulman - People - direct 645 conduct said search." 1 2 And what happened after you read that to the defendant? 3 Okay, Mr. Gopaul then verbally said to me that he 4 consented to me searching the vehicle. 5 6 I then said, "I would like to you read this 7 yourself so that you understand what I just read to you and that you are voluntarily waiving your rights to me." 8 I handed the piece of paper to Mr. Gopaul and he 9 10 read the piece of paper, after which he again said, "Yes, I 11 consent." 12 I then said, "If you could please print and sign 13 your name on the lower portion, " which he then did write the date and time that he was signing it and then I signed my 14 15 signature and placed my shield number as witness. 16 Q. It was the defendant that wrote the date and the 17 time? 18 That is correct. Α. 19 And you observed that? Q. 20 Yes, I did. Α. 21 Ο. You also observed him put his name and his 22 signature on there? 23 Yes, I did. Α. 24 Q. You can have a seat. 25 (Witness resumes the stand.)

Shulman - People - direct 646 Detective Shulman, at any time while the defendant 1 2 was being asked to consent to search his vehicle did he ask 3 to speak to an attorney? Α. No. 4 5 At any time did he indicate to you he no longer Q. 6 wished to speak with you? 7 Α. No. 8 Q. Did he ever indicate to you he no longer wished to consent for you to search his vehicle? 9 10 Α. No. 11 Q. At any time did you make any promises to him 12 during that period of time? 13 Α. No, I did not. 14 Q. Any physical force used upon him? 15 No. Α. 16 Was he handcuffed? Q. 17 Α. No, he was not. 18 Where was your gun? Q. 19 It was still locked up and secured out in my Α. 20 office. 21 Q. After that form was signed by the defendant what 22 did you do next? 23 Actually, I believe prior to that form I had read 24 Mr. Gopaul a consent to search his home. 25 MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I would ask that

647 Shulman - People - direct this be marked as People's Exhibit 8 for 1 identification. 2 3 (People's Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) 4 Detective Shulman, do you recognize 5 People's 8 for identification? 6 7 I do. Α. What do you recognize it to be? 8 It is the original consent to search form that I 9 10 prepared on June 24th of '08 that I read to Mr. Gopaul that he waived -- giving consent to search his home. 11 12 How do you know that that's it? 0. My handwriting appears on the boxes that I filled 13 14 in. I observed Mr. Gopaul sign his name and I also affixed 15 my signature and shield number as witness after it was 16 complete. 17 Is that the original? Q. 18 Α. This is the original. 19 And is it in the same or substantially the same Q. 20 condition it was on June 24th, 2008? 21 Yes, it is. Α. 22 MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I would offer 23 People's 8 into evidence. 24 MR. SCHECHTER: May I have a look at that? 25 THE COURT: Yes.

Shulman - People - direct 648 (Shown to counsel.) 1 2 MR. SCHECHTER: May I have a voir dire? THE COURT: Yes. 3 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 4 5 BY MR. SCHECHTER: Detective Shulman, was this paper executed after 6 7 the original Miranda warnings were issued? 8 Α. Yes. 9 MR. SCHECHTER: Respectfully object, your 10 Honor. THE COURT: Over objection, People's 8 will 11 12 be received in evidence. (People's Exhibit 8 received in evidence.) 13 14 THE COURT: Okay, Ms. Johnson. 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONT'D 16 BY MS. JOHNSON: 17 I'm sorry, I'm going to have to ask you to step 18 down again. 19 (Witness steps down.) 20 Q. Detective, I'm putting on the overhead People's 8 21 in evidence. 22 Can you tell the members of the jury how it was 23 that you read those warnings to the defendant on June 24th, 2008, including his responses both verbal and written? 24 25 Okay, I stated to Mr. Gopaul that I was going to

Shulman - People - direct

read him a pre-printed consent form and asking his consent to search his home in regards to my investigation.

I then wrote in his name and his home address and then I read to him, after filling in those blanks in my handwriting, "I, Harold Gopaul, having been requested to consent to a search of my home, located at 242-10 89th Avenue, Bellerose, New York, 11426, and having been duly advised of my Constitutional rights to: A, refuse such consent; B, to require that a search warrant be obtained prior to any search; C, that if I do consent to a search any evidence found as a result of such search can and will be used against me in any civil or criminal proceedings."

- Q. Can you see?
- A. Move it down a little bit.
- Q. Good?
- A. Good.

"D, that I may consult with an attorney of my choosing before or during the search; and that, E, I may withdraw my consent to a search at any time prior to prior to its conclusion."

I then read, "After having been advised of my Constitutional rights I hereby knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive my above rights and consent to search. I authorize," I then wrote in my handwriting,

"Detective Shulman or authorized representative of the

Shulman - People - direct 650 NYPD, " and I then read, "to conduct a complete search of the 1 2 above-described location, premise, residence, apartment." Mr. Gopaul indicated that he was willing to 3 consent to that search. 4 I then handed him the piece of paper and again 5 asked him if he would read it and be sure that he understood 6 7 what it said and that if he still wanted to give consent or 8 not. Mr. Gopaul read over the piece of paper and again 9 10 affirmed that he was giving consent to me. 11 I then asked him to place his signature on the 12 bottom portion of the consent form. 13 Mr. Gopaul then signed his name. He then wrote 14 the date and time. He then wrote where we were and then I signed my name and affixed my shield number as witness to 15 his affirmation of consent. 16 17 Q. Whose handwriting is that 105 detective squad in? 18 Α. Mr. Gopaul's. 19 And whose handwriting is the date and the time, Q. 20 5:20, 6/24/2008? 21 Mr. Gopaul's. Α. 22 Q. And did you observe him write that? 23 Yes, I did. Α. 24 Was that form executed before or after the vehicle consent form and if you need to look at them --25

651 Shulman - People - direct It was after Miranda, but before the vehicle. 1 Α. At any time did the defendant indicate to you he 2 Q. wished to withdraw that consent? 3 Α. No. 4 5 At any time did he indicate to you he wished to speak to an attorney? 6 7 Α. No. Was any physical force used upon him? 8 Q. 9 Α. No. 10 Any threats made to him? Q. 11 Α. No. 12 Any promises? Q. 13 Α. No. 14 Where was your weapon? Q. 15 Α. It was still locked up outside of the interview 16 room, outside in my office. At any time did the defendant indicate he didn't 17 Q. 18 understand what you were asking him? 19 No, he did not. Α. 20 And, I'm sorry, I don't know if I asked you, did Q. he ever ask to speak to an attorney? 21 22 Α. No, he did not. 23 After that form, People's 8, was executed what did 24 you do next? 25 At that point I needed to take a break to do

652 Shulman - People - direct something else. I subsequently came back into the interview 1 2 room. When you say you went back into the interview 3 Ο. room, which interview room? 4 After that -- those consent forms and the Miranda 5 forms, I went and did other duties. I had some other 6 7 conversation with Ms. Awan and then I subsequently went back into the interview room where Mr. Gopaul was waiting. 8 9 Ο. When you went back into the interview room what 10 was the defendant doing? He was sitting in a chair at a table. 11 12 awake and looked up when I walked into the room. 13 Q. Who was with him? He was by himself. 14 Α. Was he handcuffed? 15 Q. 16 No, he was not. Α. 17 Where was your weapon? Q. It was still locked up and secured outside my 18 Α. office. 19 20 Ο. What did you say to the defendant when you came 21 back into the interview room? When I came back into the interview room I said to 22 23 Mr. Gopaul that if he knows why he was here and why he was under arrest. 24 25 What was his response to you? Q.

	Shulman - People - direct 653
1	A. His response is that he had a dispute with his
2	daughter on the past Saturday and he slapped her.
3	Q. What did you say to him after he made that
4	statement to you?
5	A. I asked him if he would be willing to make a
6	written statement in regards to what had transpired with his
7	daughter.
8	He said yes.
9	I then handed him a notepad and a pen and I asked
10	him to put his name and address and the date and time on the
11	top and to write down what he wanted to write down about
12	what had occurred.
13	Q. That was a blank piece of paper you handed him?
14	A. Yes, it was.
15	MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I'm going to ask
16	this be marked as People's Exhibit 8
17	THE COURT: 9.
18	MS. JOHNSON: 9 for identification.
19	(People's Exhibit 9 marked for
20	identification.)
21	Q. Detective, will you take a look at People's 9 for
22	identification?
23	(Shown to witness.)
24	Q. Do you recognize that?
25	A. Yes, I do.

	Shulman - People - direct 654
1	Q. What do you recognize that to be?
2	A. It is the original copy of one of the written
3	statements that Mr. Harold Gopaul wrote in my presence
4	while on June 24th, '08 in the interview room at the
5	105 Precinct detective squad.
6	Q. How do you know that?
7	A. I witnessed it being written and upon its
8	completion I affixed my signature along with my shield
9	number and I noted the date and time that it was complete.
10	Q. That's the original?
11	A. This is the original.
12	Q. Is it in the same or substantially the same
13	condition it was on June 24th, 2008?
14	A. Yes, it is.
15	MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, at this time we
16	would offer People's 9 into evidence.
17	MR. SCHECHTER: May I look at the document,
18	please, your Honor?
19	(Shown to counsel.)
20	MR. SCHECHTER: May I have a voir dire?
21	THE COURT: Yes.
22	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. SCHECHTER:
24	Q. Officer, was this document extracted from the
25	defendant after you advised him of his Miranda warnings?
	d .

	Shulman - People - direct 655
1	A. I don't think that I like the word extract.
2	Q. All right
3	A. This statement was written after the Miranda
4	warnings were issued and waived.
5	MR. SCHECHTER: Objection, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: All right, over objection,
7	People's 9 will be received in evidence.
8	(People's Exhibit 9 received in evidence.)
9	MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, with the Court's
10	permission, I'm going to ask that be displayed on the
11	overhead.
12	If we can mark for ID an exact duplicate I'll
13	show it to counsel so he can read along better.
14	THE COURT: What is it that you want to mark?
15	MS. JOHNSON: Just for ID. I would like the
16	detective to read from it, but I don't believe he's
17	going to be able to read that well from the overhead.
18	THE COURT: Just show what you want him to
19	read from to Mr. Schechter.
20	(Shown to counsel.)
21	MR. SCHECHTER: No problem, no problem.
22	THE COURT: No objection to marking the copy
23	what, People's 10 for ID?
24	MS. JOHNSON: Sure.

	Shulman - People - direct 656
1	MS. JOHNSON: Yes.
2	(People's Exhibit 10 marked for
3	identification.)
4	(Shown to witness.)
5	THE COURT: All right, Ms. Johnson.
6	Q. Detective Shulman, if you could take a look at
7	People's 9 in evidence?
8	Can you read that for the members of the jury?
9	THE COURT: Well, he's got People's 10.
10	Q. I'm sorry, People's 10?
11	THE COURT: Which you've indicated is a
12	photocopy of People's 9 in evidence that's on the
13	projector.
14	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, your Honor, and I've shown
15	a copy to counsel.
16	THE COURT: All right.
17	Do you want the detective to read it?
18	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, please.
19	A. Okay, upon being given a blank notepad and a pen,
20	Mr. Gopaul wrote his name, his address, his phone numbers
21	and the date and time on the top.
22	He wrote, Harold Gopaul
23	Q. You can continue?
24	A 242-10 89th Avenue, Bellerose, New York, 11426.
25	He wrote a phone number of 718-470-0899. He then wrote cell

Shulman - People - direct

and the number 917-392-8334. Mr. Gopaul wrote the date 6/24/08 and wrote the time 6:25 a.m.

Mr. Gopaul then wrote, "Saturday 6/22/08 my family had gone to St. Gregory fair to have some fun. My eldest daughter was in line for a ride called Zipper. After about 20 or 25 minutes my daughter and friend time came. There was a single lad in front of the line. The operator told the kid two people need to go on one basket, so her friend decided to go with the kid so my daughter will have to wait for the other ride and only if she got a partner.

"Previous to going to the fair my wife very bad pain on a root canal she did few years ago and was ready to leave the fair. She was also having problems to speak. So I called my daughter out the line because I thought it was not fair to wait another 20 or 25 minutes for a ride knowing her mother was in pain. After calling my daughter out the line she was really upset. When we went home my daughter started to argue and I thought it was wrong and I put a few slaps on her as a little discipline. Sunday everything was okay. We all work in the backyard putting up a fence. I left my house on Monday day, 6/23," looks like '06, "4:30 a.m. and return about 2:30 a.m. Tuesday after work and my daughter was missing and back door of the house was open. I came -- " he signed Harold Gopaul on the bottom and wrote to be continued on second page.

Shulman - People - direct

On the second page Mr. Gopaul wrote his name on the top and dated it 6/24/08 and continued to write, " -- to the district precinct and I was held and search. Also, I was read to me and ask to sign three sets of documents.

Also, to write this report. I was read my rights and was asked to what had happened with my daughter."

After Mr. Gopaul had written this statement I asked him to read it over, if there was any corrections he wanted to make or any additions or changes he needed to make to the document, and he looked it over.

On Page 1, up on the top where he had indicated the date, he scribbled over a 2 indicating a 1, that he was saying the date was 6/21.

There was a few other spots that he didn't like what it said and he put a little scribble mark on it, that he didn't like the word, and then after he had made a couple of corrections that he didn't like he indicated that the statement was correct as to what he wanted to say.

I then asked Mr. Gopaul if he could sign the end of the statement. He signed his name. I then did sign my name and place my shield and I did write the date and time as indicating when this statement was complete.

- Q. Is that what we see here on Page 2, detective?

 You have an exact duplicate.
- A. Oh, yes.

Shulman - People - direct 659 1 Ο. Is there any handwriting on those two pages in evidence that are not the defendant's? 2 3 Α. Yes. What? 4 Q. 5 Α. On Page 2 there is my signature, my shield number and my writing of the date and time and on Page 1 is all the 6 7 defendant's handwriting. Other than that, is there anything else that 8 Q. you've marked on those two pages? 9 10 Α. No. 11 Ο. Thank you. 12 Prior to the defendant making that written 13 statement did you tell him the details of what Sana informed 14 you of? 15 MR. SCHECHTER: Objection. 16 THE COURT: No, overruled, I'll allow it. 17 MR. SCHECHTER: Exception, Judge. 18 THE COURT: That's a yes or no. 19 Α. No. 20 Did you show the defendant, prior to him making 21 that statement, any paperwork that -- involving your 22 interview with the complainant? 23 Α. No. 24 After the defendant made that two-page statement 25 what did you do next -- I'm going to withdraw that for one

		Shulman - People - direct 660
1	second.	
2		While he was writing that at any time did he ask
3	to speak	to an attorney?
4	Α.	No.
5	Q.	At any time did you use any physical force on him?
6	Α.	No.
7	Q.	At any time did he indicate he no longer wished to
8	speak to	you?
9	Α.	No, he did not.
10	Q.	At any time did he indicate he wanted medical
11	attention?	
12	Α.	No, he did not.
13	Q.	At any time did he indicate that he wanted to go
14	to the hospital?	
15	Α.	No, he did not.
16	Q.	Did you observe any injuries on him?
17	Α.	I did not.
18	Q.	Did anybody else come into the room?
19	Α.	No.
20	Q.	Where was your weapon?
21	Α.	It was still locked up in my office.
22	Q.	Did there come a time when the defendant asked to
23	use the b	pathroom?
24	Α.	Yes.
25	Q.	Was that at this point or later on?

Shulman - People - direct 661

A. At the completion of that statement Mr. Gopaul asked me if he could use the restroom. I told him, "Yes, give me one second." I came and took my paperwork out of the office.

I came into the -- back into the interview room.

I handcuffed him. I brought him to the restroom. When he was in the restroom the handcuffs were taken off so he could do what he needed to do.

When he was done and he washed his hands, the handcuffs were placed back on and Mr. Gopaul was brought back into the interview room where the handcuffs were taken off again and he sat down in a chair.

- Q. What happened at that point?
- A. At that point I took a break.
- Q. Where did you go?
- A. I -- various other duties in my office.
 - Q. Did there come a time when you came back to the room where the defendant was?
 - A. There did.

- Q. What was he doing?
- A. He was sitting in a chair leaning on the table. He was awake. He looked up when I walked in the room.
 - Q. Was he wearing -- did he have handcuffs on?
- A. He did not.
 - Q. Where was your weapon when you came back into the

	Shulman - People - direct 662
1	room?
2	A. It was still locked up in my office.
3	Q. Between the time you left and you came back did
4	you have any further conversation with Sana?
5	A. I believe I did, yes.
6	Q. Was that a further interview of her?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. When you came back into the room what did you say
9	to defendant?
10	A. I came in. I said, "You know, your daughter Sana
11	is making an allegation that there's some inappropriate
12	behavior involving you."
13	Q. Were those the words that you used, inappropriate
14	behavior?
15	A. I believe so.
16	Q. Did you I'm sorry, continue?
17	A. And I wasn't going to tell him what they were, but
18	if he wanted to make a statement on it, that he could.
19	Q. Did you tell him did you tell the defendant you
20	weren't going to tell him any other information?
21	A. Yes.
22	MR. SCHECHTER: Objection to the leading,
23	your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Well, I'll allow it. Overruled.
25	A. I did tell him that I wasn't going to tell him
- 1	

Shulman - People - direct 663 what the nature of the allegation was. 1 2 Q. Did you show him any paperwork? I did not. 3 Α. Did you tell him the details? 4 Q. 5 Α. No, I did not. What happened next? 6 Q. 7 Mr. Gopaul said he wanted to say something about it. He felt bad about it and he wanted to make a statement. 8 I then said, you know, "Would you be willing to 9 10 make a written statement about whatever you want to tell 11 me," and he indicated yes. 12 What did you provide him with? Q. 13 Α. I then provided him with a blank notepad and a 14 pen. I asked if he would, you know, write his name and address and the date and time on the top and then write what 15 he wanted to write on the paper. 16 17 Was this before or after the Miranda card had been Q. 18 signed? 19 It was after. Α. 20 Was this before or after the consent forms had Q. 21 been executed? 22 Α. After. 23 And was it before or after the statement that Ο. 24 happened at the fair that's already in evidence? 25 After. Α.

	Shulman - People - direct 664
1	MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I'm going to ask
2	that this be marked as People's 11 for identification.
3	THE COURT: 11 for ID.
	(People's Exhibit 11 marked for
4	-
5	identification.)
6	(Shown to witness.)
7	Q. Detective, could you take a look at People's 11
8	for identification?
9	MR. SCHECHTER: May I look at the document,
10	please?
11	THE COURT: Well, let's see if she offers it
12	in evidence.
13	Q. Do you recognize that?
14	A. I do.
15	Q. What do you recognize it to be?
16	A. It is the original written statement written by
17	Mr. Harold Gopaul on June 24th of 8 of '08 in my
18	presence.
19	Q. How do you know that?
20	A. It was prepared in my presence and upon its
21	completion I did affix my signature and my shield number and
22	I did note the date and time in my handwriting as witness.
23	Q. Is it in the same or substantially the same
24	condition it was on June 24th of 2008?
25	A. Yes.

Shulman - People - direct 665 And that's the original? 1 0. 2 Α. This is the original. MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, we would offer that 3 into evidence. 4 THE COURT: All right, show it to 5 Mr. Schechter. 6 7 (Shown to counsel.) MR. SCHECHTER: May I have a voir dire, 8 9 Judge? 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. SCHECHTER: Detective Shulman, this document was obtained from 13 Q. 14 Mr. Gopaul approximately three and a half hours from the 15 time you advised him of his rights? 16 Would you like the document back? 17 Α. If I could just take a look at it real quick? I think it was probably about two and a half hours 18 19 after the Miranda warnings, if I believe the time on it. 20 THE COURT: Do you want him to look at it? 21 Let me ask this. Maybe it will make it easier. Q. 22 Were the Miranda warnings administered at 23 5:10 a.m.? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Q. And if this document says 8:30 a.m., would that

	Shulman - People - direct 666
1	refresh your recollection about this being done three and a
2	half hours, or thereabouts, after the Miranda warnings were
3	administered?
4	A. I believe 8:30 is when that document, I believe,
5	is complete, not when it started.
6	Q. When it's completed?
7	A. I believe that Mr. Gopaul, around 7:25
8	Q. Objection, that's not what I asked you.
9	THE COURT: Just rephrase the question.
10	Q. This document was completed at 8:30 a.m. in the
11	morning, correct?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And that's over three and a half hours after you
14	had Mr. Gopaul execute a Miranda warning?
15	MS. JOHNSON: Objection, your Honor, as to
16	the voir dire aspect.
17	THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.
18	MR. SCHECHTER: I'm going to object to this
19	document, your Honor, for the same reasons I objected
20	to everything else.
21	THE COURT: All right, over objection,
22	People's 11 will be received in evidence.
23	(People's Exhibit 11 received in evidence.)
24	MS. JOHNSON: If I could have that shown
25	to you know what, Judge?

	Shulman - People - direct 667
1	If I can, I'll show Mr. Schechter, I have an
2	exact duplicate, just so he can read it.
3	(Shown to counsel.)
4	MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I would ask this be
5	marked as People's 12 for identification.
6	THE COURT: All right, People's 12 will be
7	marked for ID as a photocopy of People's 11 in
8	evidence.
9	(People's Exhibit 12 marked for
10	identification.)
11	MR. SCHECHTER: We're marking People's 12?
12	THE COURT: For ID as a photocopy of People's
13	11 in evidence.
14	MR. SCHECHTER: Okay.
15	(Shown to witness.)
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION CONT'D
17	BY MS. JOHNSON:
18	Q. Detective Shulman, if you can tell us if you
19	can read for us what's been marked into evidence as
20	People's 12 from your copy did I mess that up?
21	People's 11 in evidence, but you're reading from
22	People's 12.
23	THE COURT: People's 12, which is a photocopy
24	of People's 11 in evidence.
25	A. Okay, Mr. Gopaul wrote Harold Gopaul,
	we

Shulman - People - direct

242-10 89th Avenue, Bellerose, New York, 11426. He wrote the date as 6/24/08 and he wrote the time as 7:30 a.m.

Mr. Gopaul then wrote, "I, Harold Gopaul, is writing of this of my own free will. The accusation that was made toward me and my daughter, it started about the end of 2006 where we both got into a relationship where I would touch her vagina and breast and she would touch my penis and we would both kiss. It happened about five or six times total, once at 400 Community Drive and in our home. I want you to know I wish it had never happened. I am a hard-working husband and father. I work sometimes 80 to 95 hours per week to keep my family together. I admit what happened was very, very wrong. Also admit I need help. Something happened that should never have happened. I am very sorry. I will accept any help I can get. I don't want to be away from my family. My daughter, Sana Awan, is the person I am talking about."

After Mr. Gopaul wrote this I asked him to read it over and see if there was any corrections he wished to make or anything he wanted to add to the story that, you know, that he hadn't previously written.

He indicated that the story was accurate as to what he wanted it to say. He then signed his name at the end of his statement.

I then signed my name and placed my shield number

669 Shulman - People - direct and I wrote the date and time at the completion of the 1 2 statement. Detective, at any time did the defendant indicate 3 Q. to you that he wanted to speak to an attorney? 4 5 No, he did not. MS. JOHNSON: Can I just get that exhibit 6 7 back? (Shown to counsel.) 8 9 Q. At any time did he indicate to you that he no 10 longer wished to speak with you? No, he did not. 11 Α. 12 Q. Was any physical force used upon him while he was 13 writing that statement? Α. No, it was not. 14 Any threats made of him? 15 Q. 16 Α. No, they were not. 17 Q. Any promises? 18 No. Α. Where was your weapon? 19 Q. 20 Α. It was still locked up out in my office. 21 Q. Is there any part, other than signature in that document, that is not in the defendant's handwriting? 22 The date and time, but other than that, no. 23 Α. 24 Did you tell the defendant what information to put 25 in that statement?

Shulman - People - direct 670 I did not. 1 Α. 2 Detective Shulman, what happened after the defendant made that statement? 3 I -- upon completion of that statement I then did 4 immediately offer a verbal question to him. I asked him if 5 he had vibrators in his car or in his house, to which 6 Mr. Gopaul replied that he has two vibrators in his house 7 8 and a body massager in his car, but that the body massager is for his own personal use and he hadn't used it on his 9 daughter. 10 11 Was that conversation memorialized in any way? Ο. 12 Α. It was. 13 How? Q. After that question was asked and his answer was 14 Α. 15 given I then wrote on a blank piece of paper, indicating what the question I had read to him was and what his answer 16 17 was. 18 I then showed that to Mr. Gopaul and he affirmed that what I had written as the question and answer were 19 20 accurate as to the question and answer that had just 21 occurred. 22 He drew a picture on the corner of the paper as to what the vibrators in the house he was describing looked 23 like. 24 25 Q. Who drew that picture?

	Shulman - People - direct 671
1	A. Mr. Gopaul.
2	I then wrote underneath that that it was his
3	picture, that it was his depiction, his drawing, in my
4	handwriting indicating that he had drawn it.
5	I then had him look at it again; that the question
6	and the answer and what I had written underneath the drawing
7	that he made, that it was accurate to what had transpired
8	and Mr. Gopaul indicated yes, it was.
9	I then asked him to sign the document and then I
10	signed the document as witness.
11	MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I'm going to ask
12	that this be marked as People's 12 for identification.
13	THE COURT: 13.
14	MS. JOHNSON: 13, I'm sorry. I can't count
15	today.
16	THE COURT: 13 for ID.
17	(People's Exhibit 13 marked for
18	identification.)
19	(Shown to witness.)
20	Q. Detective, if you could take a look at that?
21	Do you recognize it?
22	A. I do.
23	Q. What do you recognize it to be?
24	A. It is the original copy of the question and answer
25	that I wrote in regards to my conversation with Mr. Gopaul.

	Shulman - People - direct 672
1	Q. As well as the picture you just told us about?
2	A. That's correct.
3	Q. How do you know that that's what you describe it
4	to be and that's the original?
5	A. The question and answer are written in my
6	handwriting. I wrote it. I was present when Mr. Gopaul
7	viewed it and signed it and I also placed my signature and
8	shield number as witness to what was written on the paper.
9	Q. Is that in the same or substantially the same
10	condition it was on June 24th, 2008?
11	A. Yes.
12	MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, at this time we
13	would offer that into evidence.
14	MR. SCHECHTER: May I see it?
15	THE COURT: Yes.
16	(Shown to counsel.)
17	MR. SCHECHTER: May I have a voir dire?
18	THE COURT: Yes.
19	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. SCHECHTER:
21	Q. Was this statement made after the initial
22	admonition of rights at 5:10 a.m. in the morning?
23	A. This statement was after the Miranda warnings were
24	asked and waived by Mr. Gopaul, yes.
25	MR. SCHECHTER: Same objection, Judge.
f	

	Shulman - People - direct 673
1	THE COURT: All right, over objection,
2	People's 13 will be received in evidence.
3	(People's Exhibit 13 received in evidence.)
4	MS. JOHNSON: Will you mark this 14?
5	THE COURT: People, you're asking for another
6	photocopy to be marked?
7	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, your Honor, I've provided
8	a copy to Mr. Schechter as well.
9	THE COURT: People's 14 for ID only as a
10	photocopy of People's 13 in evidence.
11	(People's Exhibit 14 marked for
12	identification.)
13	Q. Detective Shulman, can you take a look at the
14	identification document before you?
15	MS. JOHNSON: And I provided People's 13 in
16	evidence on the overhead.
17	Q. Detective, can you read for us what's indicated in
18	that document?
19	A. I wrote the time as 0830 hours on 6/24 of '08. I
20	wrote Q, as indicative of question, "Do you have any
21	vibrators in the car?"
22	I wrote A for the answer that was responded, "He
23	states he has multiple vibrators in the house. Two are
24	white and look the same. They are in a cabinet in the
25	bedroom at the house. He has a white fold-up massager in

674 Shulman - People - direct the car that he uses for his neck. He claims never to have 1 2 used it on his daughter." On the left top corner there's a picture that 3 Mr. Gopaul is describing the vibrators that he's describing 4 5 and I drew an arrow pointing from what I was writing towards the picture and I wrote, "Subject drew this picture as shape 6 7 of white vibrators." Did you observe the defendant draw that picture? 8 Q. I did. 9 Α. At any time did he indicate he wanted to make any 10 Q. chances to that photograph -- to that picture? 11 12 Α. No. 13 At any time did he ask to speak to an attorney? Q. 14 Α. No. At any time was any physical force used upon him? 15 Q. 16 No. Α. 17 Q. Where was your gun? 18 It was still locked up out in my office. Α. 19 Q. At any time did he indicate he no longer wished to speak with you? 20 21 Α. No, he did not. 22 Did you observe any injuries upon him? Q. 23 No. Α. 24 Did he ask for medical attention? Q. 25 Α. No.

Shulman - People - direct 675 Did he complain of pain? Q. 1 2 Α. No. Thank you. 3 Q. (Shown to counsel.) 4 Were any promises made to him? 5 Q. No. 6 Α. Detective Shulman, after that document was 7 Q. 8 executed in the presence of the defendant and after he made that -- he drew that picture, what did you do next? 9 10 After that was drawn and the things were written I Α. asked him to review it; that it was accurate for the 11 12 question and the answer that had transpired and the picture 13 he drew and the comment I made in regards to the picture he 14 drew. 15 Mr. Gopaul indicated to me it was accurate. 16 I then asked him to sign his name and then I 17 signed my name as witness to that. 18 Was that the end of your contact with the Q. defendant? 19 20 Α. No. 21 Q. What happened next? 22 At that point I took a break. I had various other 23 duties to perform in regards to the investigation. 24 At some point in time I came back into that same 25 interview room sometime, you know, later in the day and I

Shulman - People - direct 676 asked Mr. Gopaul if he would be interested in making a 1 2 videotaped statement in the presence of the Queens District Attorney's Office and Mr. Gopaul said that he wouldn't have 3 any problem with that and he would be willing to do that. 4 Was this after or before all those statements were 5 Ο. signed by the defendant? 6 7 Α. After. And was it before or after that Miranda card was 8 Ο. signed by him? 9 After. 10 Α. Did there come a time when the Assistant District 11 12 Attorneys came to the precinct? 13 Α. Yes. And when they came what did the defendant say and 14 Q. 15 where did you bring him? Mr. Gopaul at that point was brought into a larger 16 17 interview room in my office where a videographer from the Queens DA's Office had come and was setting up to film, you 18 19 know, the videotaped statement. Up until that point did you ever show the 20 Q. 21 defendant any statements that Sana had given to you? 22 No, I had not. Α. 23 Q. Did you give him any of the details of what she had told you? 24 25 I had not. Α.

#000 to 100 to 1	Shulman - People - direct 677
1	Q. Did you tell him the questions that the prosecutor
2	was going to be asking him on video?
3	A. I did not.
4	Q. Were you present for that video?
5	A. Yes, I was.
6	Q. Were you present for the entirety of it?
7	A. Yes, I was.
8	Q. At any time did the defendant indicate he wanted
9	to speak to an attorney?
10	A. He did not.
11	Q. At any time did he indicate he did not want to
12	speak to the prosecutors?
13	A. He did not.
14	Q. Did he complain of any injury?
15	A. No, he did not.
16	Q. Were any promises made to him?
17	A. No, they were not.
18	Q. Where was your gun?
19	A. It was still locked up outside in my office.
20	Q. Did the prosecutors have any weapons with them?
21	A. No, they did not.
22	Q. Was any physical force used upon the defendant?
23	A. No, it was not.
24	Q. Detective, over the course of your career with the
25	New York City Police Department have you had occasions where

	Shulman - People - direct 678
1	subjects in custody have not given you written confessions?
2	A. Yes, I have.
3	Q. And have you had occasions throughout the course
4	of your career where subjects have not given you videotaped
5	confessions?
6	A. More often than not, video statements are not made
7	in most cases.
8	Q. Have you had occasions over the course of your
9	career where written confessions are given you, but video
10	confessions are not?
11	MR. SCHECHTER: I'm going to object, Judge.
12	THE COURT: Yeah, I'm going to sustain at
13	this point.
14	Q. After that videotape was taken did you have any
15	further contact with the defendant?
16	A. Very briefly when he was being brought out of my
17	office and then court proceedings.
18	Q. I'm referring to any additional substantive
19	conversations with him other than movement of where he was
20	going?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Did you ever tell the defendant what information
23	to provide?
24	MR. SCHECHTER: I'm just going to object now
25	to the constant leading.

	Shulman - People - direct 679
1	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Schechter, please.
2	MR. SCHECHTER: Objection.
3	THE COURT: You have an objection?
4	MR. SCHECHTER: To form.
5	THE COURT: All right, rephrase.
6	Q. What, if anything, did you tell the defendant to
7	say on that video confession?
8	A. I didn't tell him to say anything.
9	Q. What did you tell him was going to happen when the
10	prosecutors came to the 105?
11	A. I let him know that they were going to, you know,
12	probably read him Miranda and ask him if he wanted to tell
13	his story in the presence of the video camera.
14	Q. Was any other information given to him?
15	A. No.
16	MS. JOHNSON: I have no other questions for
17	Detective Shulman.
18	THE COURT: All right, how is everybody
19	doing?
20	Do you need a break to stretch, use the
21	facilities?
22	I see some heads shaking, some hands going
23	up.
24	Okay, we're going to take our morning break.
25	We'll be back here in ten minutes. Just follow Kenny

Shulman - People - cross 680 out the door. Watch your step. 1 (Jury exits.) 2 (Recess taken in the proceedings.) 3 (Witness resumes the stand.) 4 THE COURT: Just, on the record, 5 Mr. Schechter, this morning my law secretary received a 6 7 package of what she believes to be approximately 30 documents from ACS. 8 She's been -- we've been, actually, both of 9 us, going through them. 10 I'm providing you and Ms. Johnson copies of 11 12 those items that we think would be relevant to the allegations that are here. 13 14 The first two pages that I gave you with a 15 staple on them are actually consecutive pages because 16 it continues on from a Page 7 to Page 8. It's 17 essentially what appears to be the interview conducted at the 105th Precinct by CPS. 18 19 (Shown to counsel.) 20 THE COURT: And then the third page that I 21 provided is -- they're all entitled investigation 22 progress notes. 23 The third page is what I would deem to be a 24 further or another account by the complainant of the 25 allegations.

	Shulman - People - cross 681
1	So I'm providing them to both of you at this
2	point. There's still a number of more pages that we're
3	attempting to go through as quickly as possible.
4	(Jury enters.)
5	THE COURT: Okay, members of the jury, we're
6	ready to continue.
7	Mr. Schechter?
8	MR. SCHECHTER: Shall I begin, your Honor?
9	THE COURT: Yes.
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. SCHECHTER:
12	Q. Detective Shulman, you're 37 years of age, is that
13	correct?
14	A. Yes, your Honor yes.
15	Q. Thank you very much, but I'm not quite there.
16	Now, I'm going to ask you, before you became a
17	police officer you were a security guard in Maryland?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And as a security guard in Maryland did you guard
20	payroll, did you work in a mall?
21	Where did you work?
22	A. I had several different assignments of which would
23	be strip malls, shopping centers and some residential
24	communities.
25	Q. Got it.

Shulman - People - cross 682 And you're a native New Yorker, is that correct? 1 That is correct. 2 Α. Now, you've indicated on direct examination you 3 Q. were promoted to detective second grade, correct? 4 5 Α. Correct. And your specific duties, you enhance arrests, 6 Q. 7 isn't that so? MS. JOHNSON: Objection. 8 9 THE COURT: Yeah, I'll sustain as to form. 10 Did you ever tell the grand jury that you enhance Q. arrests? 11 12 Α. I don't know if I said that or not. 13 Ο. Well, do you know what the term enhance arrests 14 means? Yes. 15 Α. 16 Q. Hum? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Isn't it a fact that you were the detective at the Q. 19 105 Precinct charged with enhancing arrests? 20 Isn't that so? 21 Α. Not specifically, no. 22 Q. Is that one of your duties, to enhance arrests? 23 It's one of my many duties, yes. Α. And enhancing arrests requires you to obtain 24 25 confessions, does it not?

	Shulman - People - cross 683
1	A. No, it does not.
2	Q. Now, on the night in question, detective, you were
3	working a what tour was that, 4 to 1, sorry?
4	A. 4:27 p.m. on June 23rd to 1 a.m. June 24th.
5	Q. Okay. Now, your tour ended at 1 o'clock, is that
6	correct?
7	A. My scheduled tour.
8	Q. Your scheduled tour ended at 1 o'clock.
9	You were first informed that the complaining
10	witness was at the precinct at 2:30, yes or no?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. What were you doing from 1 to 2:30 at the precinc
13	if your tour ended at 1 o'clock?
14	A. I was working on other investigations. I don't
15	know specifically what case I was working on.
16	Q. Did you apply for overtime from 1 to 2:30?
17	A. It doesn't work like that.
18	Q. Well, did you stay at the precinct from 1 to 2:30
19	What investigation were you working on?
20	A. I don't know specifically what I was working on.
21	Q. Now, in the course of your duties you maintain a
22	memo book, is that correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And in the memo book as part of Police Department
25	protocol you're required to basically outline the important

	Shulman - People - cross 684	
1	parts of your tour, would that be fair to say?	
2	A. Depends on your assignment as to whether or not	
3	that is necessary.	
4	MR. SCHECHTER: May I have this, please,	
5	marked as Defendant's Exhibit T for identification?	
6	THE COURT: Defendant's T.	
7	MR. SCHECHTER: T.	
8	(Defendant's Exhibit T marked for	
9	identification.)	
10	Q. Now, officer, you were working on a homicide	
11	investigation, weren't you?	
12	A. Pardon me?	
13	Q. You were working on a homicide investigation th	.at
14	day, weren't you?	
15	A. I don't know specifically	
16	Q. Sorry?	
17	A. I don't know specifically what I was working on	. •
18	Q. As a matter of fact, you wrote in your memo	
19	book	
20	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.	
21	THE COURT: No well, just continue the	
22	question.	
23	Q. You wrote in your memo book that for every hour	
24	from 4 through the next day you were working on a homicid	е
25	investigation, is that true?	

	Shulman - People - cross 685
1	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
2	THE COURT: No, I'll allow that.
3	You can answer that.
4	A. That's not
5	Q. Yes or no?
6	A. No.
7	Q. May I have that, please?
8	A. I mean
9	THE COURT: All right, just hand the exhibit
10	back.
11	MR. SCHECHTER: Thank you.
12	(Shown to counsel.)
13	Q. Now, in your memo book you indicate on Monday,
14	June 23rd, '08 your tour was 4:27 through 0100, would that be
15	fair to say?
16	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
17	THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.
18	Q. Is this a record kept by you in the ordinary
19	course of business of the New York City Police Department?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And is it in the ordinary course of business of
22	the New York City Police Department to maintain and keep
23	this record?
24	A. Yes.
25	THE COURT: Mr. Schechter

	Shulman - People - cross 686
1	Q. Is it your duty
2	THE COURT: Mr. Schechter, could we have some
3	testimony as to what it is you have in your hand,
4	because I don't think it's been established yet?
5	MR. SCHECHTER: Oh, I'm sorry, Judge.
6	Detective Lennard Shulman's memo book for date opened
7	January 4, '06.
8	THE COURT: Detective, is Defendant's T for
9	identification, a copy photocopy of a page or pages
10	of your memo book?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: All right, go ahead.
13	Q. And were you under a duty as part of your duties
14	in the Police Department to maintain this memo book oh,
15	you have the original with you?
16	THE COURT: Yes?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
18	Q. And
19	THE COURT: Well, yes, that's your original
20	memo book.
21	I think you got a question pending.
22	MR. SCHECHTER: Yes.
23	Q. Are the entries in this memo book close in time to
24	when the events they portrayed occurred?
25	A. Again, it depends on what your assignment is in

Shulman - People - cross 687 the Police Department as to what gets documented in your 1 2 memo book and what doesn't. MR. SCHECHTER: Not responsive to my 3 question, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: No, I think it is. 5 Do the events portrayed in this memo book 6 Ο. 7 accurately reflect the time when they are entered, yes or 8 no? Some entries do, some entries may have some sort 9 Α. 10 of laq. 11 As a general rule, this memo book, the entries that you put in here are right approximately when the events 12 13 occurred, is that correct? As a generalization, yes. 14 Α. 15 And you were the only one to make these entries in 16 this memo book except when your sergeant signs off on it, 17 correct? 18 Α. Correct. 19 MR. SCHECHTER: I offer this, your Honor, in 20 evidence as Defense Exhibit T as a business record. 21 THE COURT: People? 22 MS. JOHNSON: We object to the foundation and 23 other grounds. 24 THE COURT: Yeah, let me -- Mr. Schechter, 25 let me see you with the DA?

ws

	Shulman - People - cross 688
1	COURT OFFICER: Step down, please?
2	(Witness steps down.)
3	(Sidebar conference held as follows:)
4	MR. SCHECHTER: He answered all the right
5	questions and it was a predicate the foundation was
6	properly laid, your Honor. It's a business record.
7	(Shown to Court.)
8	THE COURT: It wasn't exactly that.
9	People?
10	MS. JOHNSON: Judge, in fact, it's not kept
11	at or he said it's not kept at or about and it
12	doesn't contain all of the actions that he took and it
13	is not a complete record of what he did during the
14	course of the day, exactly what is required for a
15	business record to come into evidence.
16	THE COURT: All the actions that's not one
17	of the criteria for the business record exception.
18	MS. JOHNSON: My point being that he
19	indicated it was not a complete and accurate copy of
20	the events because there are items that are not there.
21	MR. SCHECHTER: That does not affect
22	admissibility.
23	THE COURT: I think he said that these times,
24	as a general rule, are generally entered in there,
25	these times and events, at or about the time it takes
	ii

	Shulman - People - cross 689
1	place and that he has an obligation to do it.
2	MR. SCHECHTER: Doesn't affect admissibility.
3	THE COURT: It's a little
4	MS. JOHNSON: I would also ask what's the
5	offer of proof of the probative value?
6	MR. SCHECHTER: None of your business.
7	MS. JOHNSON: Excuse me?
8	MR. SCHECHTER: None of your business.
9	THE COURT: The problem, Ms. Johnson, is that
10	as a business record exception there is an element of
11	relevance, but not as much as an offer that is not a
12	business record exception.
13	Over objection, I'm going to allow it as T in
14	evidence.
15	MS. JOHNSON: Our objection is based on
16	foundation as well as the contents of being hearsay.
17	THE COURT: Yes.
18	(Sidebar conference concludes.)
19	THE COURT: Members of the jury,
20	Defendant's T will be received in evidence over
21	objection.
22	(Defendant's Exhibit T received in evidence.)
23	MR. SCHECHTER: May I have the document,
24	please?
25	(Shown to counsel.)

		Shulman - People - cross 690
1	Q.	Now, officer, you have the original of this
2	document,	do you not, in your possession?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	It's right before you, correct?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	Now, please turn to the entries for June 23
7	through Ju	ine 25.
8		You have them before you?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	Now, officer, on June 23 you were working a
11	4:27 p.m.	to 1 a.m. tour, would that be fair to say?
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	And you noted in your memo book assisting homicide
14	investigat	tion right under that notation, isn't that true?
15		Yes or no?
16	Α.	No.
17	Q.	What does, A-S-S-H-O-M-I-N-V, mean?
18	A.	It's my way of writing that my assignment is
19	homicide i	investigator every day.
20	Q.	Well, you've indicated to the jury that you do
21	more than	homicide investigations, you're called upon by the
22	precinct t	to have many other responsibilities, including
23	investigat	ing whatever comes into the precinct?
24		Didn't you tell the jury that on direct
25	examinatio	on?

	Shulman - People - cross 691
1	A. I don't know if that was my testimony
2	specifically, but I do many things.
3	Q. So you don't just do a homicide investigation, you
4	do many things, correct?
5	A. I do many things, yes. I'm
6	Q. And then 0800, that's 8 in the morning, that's the
7	next entry on that's the first entry on the 23rd, would
8	that be fair to say?
9	Is that the sergeant's signature?
10	Please read.
11	A. Ask me again what point you're pointing to,
12	please?
13	Q. Yes, 0800?
14	A. Okay.
15	Q. Whose signature is that?
16	A. My signature.
17	Q. Okay, now, look at Tuesday, June 24th.
18	You were working an 8 a.m. to 4:33 p.m. tour, is
19	that correct?
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. And, once again, you have right under that
22	A-S-S-H-O-M-I-N-V?
23	That's A-S-S-H-O-M-I-N-V, correct?
24	A. Correct.
25	Q. And that's the 24th, is that correct?
	140

ws

Shulman - People - cross 692 Correct. 1 Α. 2 The next entry is 2133 and that's your signature Q. again, is that correct? 3 Yes, it is. 4 Α. 5 Anywhere within your memo book from between 6 June 23 and June 24 did you have any notation whatsoever 7 about what you did with Mr. Gopaul, yes or no, on your memo book? 8 I have DD5s that I prepared in that time frame. 9 Α. 10 MR. SCHECHTER: Objection, not responsive. 11 THE COURT: If you can't answer yes or no, 12 just tell the attorney you can't answer yes or no. 13 Can you answer that question? 14 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that yes or no 15 like that, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 Q. You've read the entries between June 23 and June 24, they're right in front of you, correct? 18 19 Α. Correct. 20 There are no entries regarding Harold Gopaul in 21 your memo book, are there? 22 In my memo book, no. Α. 23 Officer --Q. 24 THE COURT: I think it's detective. 25 Q. I'm sorry, detective.

693 Shulman - People - cross Detective, at 2:30 you were informed that the 1 complainant was in the office -- was in the police precinct, 2 correct? 3 Α. Correct. 4 Now, incidentally, what is the difference between 5 precinct and squad? 6 Well, the precinct is, one, a physical structure 7 Α. 8 and is an indicative title of a patrol precinct. 9 Q. Right? 10 And a detective squad is assigned to the detective Α. bureau that could be housed anywhere. 11 So that when a detective is asked, "What's your 12 Q. command, " he would, in your case, say the 105 squad, would 13 14 that be fair to say? 15 The 105th detective squad, yes. Average person wouldn't know 105 squad, would that 16 Q. be fair to say? 17 18 MS. JOHNSON: Objection. THE COURT: Yeah, sustained. 19 20 Now, taking the chronology of where you were, Q. 21 detective, at 2:30 you were informed that Sana Awan was in 22 the precinct, yes or no? 23 Α. Yes. 24 And you went up to speak to Sana Awan, is that 25 correct?

WS

694 Shulman - People - cross No. 1 Α. 2 What -- where did you go? Q. I remained in my office and at some point in time 3 Α. Ms. Awan was brought up to my office to be interviewed. 4 5 Q. Do you remember what time that was? I believe it was approximately 3:10 or 3:20 in the 6 Α. 7 morning. And as you were interviewing Sana Awan at 3:20 in 8 Ο. 9 the morning you were informed that Mr. Gopaul was in the precinct, is that correct? 10 Not at 3:20 in the morning I wasn't. 11 When were you informed that Harold Gopaul was in 12 Q. the precinct? 13 14 Α. Somewhere between 4:45 a.m. and 5 a.m. 15 So, therefore, you were talking to Sana Awan from Q. approximately, would it be, 2:30 or 3 a.m. -- I'm sorry, I 16 17 didn't remember when you first spoke to her? To whom? 18 Α. 19 Q. Sana Awan? 20 Α. I believe it was about 3:10 or 20 in the morning. 21 Q. So from 3:10 until quarter to 5 in the morning, 22 more or less, you were speaking to Sana Awan, would that be 23 fair to say? 24 Α. On and off. 25 Well, what were you doing in the off times? Q.

Shulman - People - cross 695	
A. Looking into other things, possibly taking a	
minute to collect myself.	
Q. And Sana Awan was in the room with you, correct	:?
A. Part of the time.	
Q. Now, you were informed by Sergeant O'Hagan, as	you
testified on direct examination, that Mr that he had	
assisted in the apprehension of Mr. Gopaul, correct?	
A. I don't know if that's what my testimony was or	n
direct, but Sergeant O'Hagan did indicate to me that he	
and that Mr. Gopaul was taken into custody and that he	€
was there when it occurred.	
Q. Did he tell you that he was that he assisted	d ir
the apprehension of Mr. Gopaul?	
A. I don't know if those were his exact words or n	not.
Q. Did he tell you, more or less, that he recognize	zed
Mr. Gopaul's name and that he assisted in the apprehension	on
of Mr. Gopaul?	
A. Again, I don't know if he said assisted, but he	9
did indicate that he had recognized Mr. Gopaul's name and	d ar
Ecolab uniform he was wearing when he came into the	
precinct.	
MR. SCHECHTER: I ask that this be marked	as
Defendant's U, please, for identification.	

THE COURT: Defendant's U.

	Shulman - People - cross 696
1	(Defendant's Exhibit U marked for
2	identification.)
3	(Shown to witness.)
4	Q. Now, do you recognize that document, yes or no?
5	THE WITNESS: I can't answer that
6	specifically just with a yes or no.
7	THE COURT: You can't answer yes or no?
8	THE WITNESS: I can answer yes or no with
9	something added to it.
10	THE COURT: Just tell Mr. Schechter you can't
11	answer yes or no.
12	A. I cannot answer yes or no like that.
13	Q. Are you telling this jury you cannot answer yes or
14	no whether you recognize this document?
15	A. That's not what I'm saying.
16	Q. Do you recognize that document, yes or no?
17	THE COURT: Do you recognize the document?
18	THE WITNESS: I recognize it as appearing to
19	be a copy of a partial document.
20	Q. Now, looking at the line that I put yellow on,
21	does that refresh your recollection that Detective O'Hagan
22	(sic) told you that he assisted in the apprehension of
23	Mr. Gopaul?
24	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
25	THE COURT: All right, the objection is
	ws

	Shulman - People - cross 697
1	overruled.
2	That's a yes or no.
3	Detective, would you just take a look at that
4	document?
5	After you look at it if you can answer
6	Mr. Schechter's question?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Okay.
9	MR. SCHECHTER: May I have that back, please?
10	(Shown to counsel.)
11	Q. Detective O'Hagan (sic), in fact
12	A. Sergeant O'Hagan.
13	Q. I'm sorry, Sergeant O'Hagan, in fact, assisted in
14	the apprehension of Mr. Gopaul, correct, yes or no?
15	A. I wasn't present, so I can only go by what I
16	was
17	Q. Told?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. By him?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Mr. Gopaul, to the best of your knowledge,
22	surrendered to the precinct didn't surrender, came to the
23	precinct voluntarily, is that correct?
24	A. To my knowledge.
25	Q. And how tall and how much does he weigh, if you
	ws

	Shulman - People - cross 698
1	know, if you can estimate?
2	A. I don't know specifically.
3	MS. JOHNSON: What date?
4	Objection.
5	THE COURT: Assume
6	Q. On June 24, if you recall?
7	A. I don't know specifically.
8	Q. Now, you knew at the time that your first contact
9	was made with Mr. Gopaul that he was already under arrest,
10	isn't that so?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And he had been arrested by one or more other
13	police officers, is that correct?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. How many police officers placed Mr. Gopaul under
16	arrest?
17	A. I don't know.
18	Q. Now, he was in the precinct itself when he was
19	placed under arrest?
20	A. I have to say I don't know.
21	Q. To the best based upon your investigation he
22	was in the precinct when he was placed under arrest, is that
23	correct?
24	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
25	THE COURT: No, I'll allow it.

Shulman - People - cross 699 If you know. 1 2 I believe, but I wasn't there. Now, he was never charged with resisting arrest, 3 Q. 4 was he? I don't believe so, no. 5 Α. Matter of fact, he was unhandcuffed, according to 6 0. 7 you, in the room, right? 8 Α. Correct. So there's no reason to assist in the apprehension 9 Q. of Mr. Gopaul, was there? 10 11 I can't answer that. Do you know which officer placed Mr. Gopaul 12 Q. physically under arrest? 13 14 Α. I don't. But some other officer did, correct? 15 Ο. 16 Somebody did. I don't know specifically who. Why wasn't that police officer placed --17 Q. 18 withdrawn. 19 Why wasn't that police officer credited with the arrest of Mr. Gopaul? 20 21 I don't know. 22 Police Officer Alfaro was taken off of her radio 23 motor patrol and told that she's going to take credit for 24 the arrest, correct? 25 Α. Yes.

700 Shulman - People - cross 1 Q. Why? I don't know. That's a formal course of business 2 Α. with the Police Department. 3 Isn't it to shield the officers that did place him 4 Q. under arrest from charges that they assaulted him and 5 manhandled him when he was placed under arrest? 6 7 Isn't that the reason? MS. JOHNSON: Objection. 8 9 THE COURT: No, I'll allow it. You can answer that. 10 I don't believe so. It's a normal course of 11 12 business within the Police Department for people to be assigned arrests that they weren't necessarily an 13 14 apprehending officer on. So it's in the normal course of business of the 15 16 Police Department to allow a police officer to get 16 hours 17 of overtime to put their name on the sheet as the arresting officer rather than have an officer who is already on patrol 18 19 to process the arrest, is that what you're telling this 20 jury? 21 MS. JOHNSON: Objection. 22 THE COURT: Yeah, sustained. 23 Q. Now, when you first saw Mr. Gopaul he was in the 24 room, you're saying, the interrogation room? 25 Α. He was in an interview room.

	Shulman - People - cross 701
1	Q. Is that room commonly referred to in the
2	105 Precinct as the box?
3	A. I don't know that I would say commonly, but I've
4	heard it called the box.
5	MR. SCHECHTER: I ask that
6	A. Not just that room, but interview rooms
7	Q. I don't believe there's a question in front of you
8	now, officer.
9	THE COURT: All right, do you want to mark
10	something, Mr. Schechter?
11	MR. SCHECHTER: Yes, Judge, Exhibits
12	THE COURT: V, I think.
13	MR. SCHECHTER: V, W, X, Y.
14	(Defendant's Exhibits V through Y marked for
15	identification.)
16	(Shown to witness.)
17	Q. Now, please take a look at those photographs,
18	officer.
19	Now, Photograph V, does that fairly and accurately
20	portray the outside of the 105 Precinct on June 24th, 2008?
21	A. The front entranceway, absent of foliage, yes.
22	MR. SCHECHTER: I offer that as
23	Defendant's Exhibit V in evidence, please?
24	THE COURT: All right.
25	MS. JOHNSON: Can I see it, Judge, or do we

702 Shulman - People - cross want to go through all of them first? 1 2 THE COURT: Let me ask you, Mr. Schechter, are you going to be offering all of these? 3 4 MR. SCHECHTER: I will. THE COURT: Would you show Ms. Johnson all of 5 them, see if she has any objection to them? 6 (Shown to counsel.) 7 8 MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, as long as these 9 are fair and accurate pictures of what is depicted in the photograph as it looked on June 24th, 2008, if that 10 11 testimony is elicited I have no objection. THE COURT: All right, do you want to ask 12 13 those questions, then? 14 MR. SCHECHTER: She asked them for me. 15 Are they fair and accurate representations of the Ο. box on June 24th, 2008? 16 17 (Shown to witness.) 18 THE COURT: Just, if you would, detective, go 19 through the remaining few pictures, just indicate what 20 exhibit letter they are and just tell us what they 21 depict and whether or not they fairly and accurately 22 depict those areas, I'm assuming, in the 105th Precinct 23 on or about June 24th, 2008. 24 In regards to Exhibit, I believe it's, W, the door 25 itself is indicative of what it probably would have looked

WS

	Shulman - People - cross 703
1	like on that day, although there's some signage on the door.
2	THE WITNESS: I don't know if it was or
3	wasn't on the door on June 24th, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Other than that, it fairly and
5	accurately depicts that area in the precinct?
6	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I would say so.
7	THE COURT: X?
8	THE WITNESS: And, again, I don't know if
9	those are the specific chairs that are in the room, but
10	the table and the general space or that part of the
11	space that's shown in the photograph would be fairly
12	accurate.
13	And
14	MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, could we just have
15	the microphone put on?
16	THE COURT: It is on.
17	MS. JOHNSON: Sorry.
18	THE COURT: And the last, Y?
19	THE WITNESS: Y, again, for the portion of
20	the room that it shows, I would believe it's probably
21	fairly close.
22	THE COURT: All right, do you have any
23	objection, People?
24	MS. JOHNSON: No.
25	THE COURT: All right, so V through Y will be

	Shulman - People - cross 704
1	received in evidence.
2	MR. SCHECHTER: Thank you.
3	THE COURT: Just let us mark it.
4	MR. SCHECHTER: I would like them passed to
5	the jury, please.
6	THE COURT: All right, we'll do that and then
7	we're going to break for lunch. After Wendy marks it
8	just give them to the jury.
9	MR. SCHECHTER: Can I ask a question while
10	she's doing that?
11	THE COURT: No.
12	(Defendant's Exhibits V through Y received in
13	evidence.)
14	THE COURT: Do you have a question before it
15	goes to the jury?
16	MR. SCHECHTER: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Please, Mr. Schechter.
18	Q. What does the word complainant mean?
19	A. Someone who is offering up they're
20	Q. A victim?
21	A. Victim or some sort of reporter of a crime.
22	Q. Now, that room you're at the 105th Precinct
23	every day?
24	That's your house where you go every day for the
25	last nine years?

...

		Shulman - People - cross 705
1	A.	Seven years.
2	Q.	Seven years.
3		So you're familiar with the precinct and the parts
4	of the p	recinct, is that correct?
5	Α.	Some parts.
6	Q.	Now, you interviewed you indicated that the
7	video pio	cture was taken in a much larger room in another
8	part of t	the precinct, correct?
9	Α.	Slightly larger.
10	Q.	Slightly larger, okay.
11		Now, he's not a complainant, is he?
12		I'm referring to Mr. Gopaul. He was not a
13	complaina	ant, correct?
14	A.	No.
15	Q.	That room is for interviews of complainants, isn't
16	it?	
17	A.	Not always.
18		MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
19		THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.
20	Q.	Now, officer, on direct testimony you said that
21	the room	was eight or nine by ten, do you recall that?
22	A.	I believe
23	Q.	You remember that testimony?
24	Α.	I believe I was estimating at the time, but, yes.
25	Q.	Right. Now, the rooms dimensions haven't changed,

W.C.

	Shulman - People - cross 706
1	have they?
2	A. Pardon me?
3	Q. The dimensions of the rooms haven't changed?
4	A. No.
5	Q. They're pretty much what they were, right
6	A. Yes.
7	Q on June 24th?
8	And you recall testifying at the hearing on
9	April 30th, 2009?
10	You remember you told the Judge on that date that
11	the room was approximately ten by eight, not ten by nine?
12	Do you recall that?
13	A. I don't know what my specific measurements were,
14	but ten by eight or ten by nine or nine by ten, I think, are
15	the same, as far as speculative sizes go.
16	Q. Well, would you like your recollection refreshed
17	whether you told the Judge it was eight by ten?
18	In other words, you minimized the size of the room
19	to the Judge to the jury, but not to the Judge?
20	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
21	THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.
22	MR. SCHECHTER: Withdrawn.
23	Q. Now
24	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Schechter, you're
25	going to go on to a different area?
	ws

	Shulman - People - cross 707
1	MR. SCHECHTER: I'm sorry?
2	THE COURT: You're going on to a different
3	area?
4	MR. SCHECHTER: I shall, but in the meantime
5	I suppose we could have the jury look at them.
6	THE COURT: So let's have the jury look at
7	these and then we can break for lunch.
8	MR. SCHECHTER: Thank you.
9	(Defendant's Exhibits V through Y published
10	to the jury.)
11	COURT OFFICER: Okay, Judge.
12	THE COURT: All right, members of the jury,
13	we're going to break at this time for lunch. We're
14	going to pick it up at 2 o'clock.
15	Please remember my admonitions.
16	Please do not discuss the case amongst
17	yourselves or with anybody else.
18	Please don't form any opinions about the
19	case. Please keep an open mind.
20	Please don't view or visit or access any
21	means to research this case or view any view or
22	visit any of the areas described.
23	Have a good lunch. We'll see you back at 2.
24	(Jury exits.)
25	THE COURT: Okay, detective, you can step
	ws

	Shulman - People - cross 708
1	outside. See you at 2 o'clock.
2	(Witness steps down.)
3	THE COURT: All right, we'll see everybody
4	back at 2 o'clock.
5	(The luncheon recess was taken at this time.)
6	* * * *
7	AFTERNOON SESSION
8	(Witness resumes the stand.)
9	THE COURT: Just give me an idea of what you
10	want to talk about because I don't want them to stand
11	out there.
12	MR. SCHECHTER: I just want to make my offer
13	regarding the videotapes that I made before I had
14	counsel's opening statement.
15	I wish to make reference to it with respect
16	to my application.
17	Now, Page 6
18	THE COURT: Let me do this are they
19	outside?
20	MR. SCHECHTER: It's pretty short.
21	COURT OFFICER: One is missing, Number 7.
22	MR. SCHECHTER: Counsel says, firstly, on
23	Page 5, she says, "14-year-old Sana couldn't fight him
24	off and the evidence will show that fear remained with
25	her, that fear that even as she fought, said no and

	Shulman - People - cross 709
1	pushed and couldn't resist, that fear remained in her
2	mind."
3	Counsel then states on Page 7, "As the
4	calendar days went, the months went, the years went,
5	that fear that he instilled in her when she was just 14
6	years old remained with her and crossed county lines
7	into Nassau County and she never forgot that she was
8	still afraid because she knew when she tried to fight
9	him she wouldn't win."
10	That was in her opening statement, Judge, so
11	I respectfully
12	THE COURT: It's not evidence, so but what
13	I'll do is I'll I don't want to truncate it, if you
14	will. I'll take it up when the People rest and then we
15	can renew it.
16	Other than that, we're ready.
17	Do you have Detective Moran here?
18	MS. JOHNSON: I told him to come outside at
19	2:30.
20	(Pause in the proceedings.)
21	(Jury enters.)
22	THE COURT: All right, members of the jury,
23	we're ready to continue with cross-examination.
24	Mr. Schechter?
25	

Shulman - People - cross 710 CROSS-EXAMINATION CONT'D 1 BY MR. SCHECHTER: 2 3 Q. Detective Matth -- Detective Shulman, do you know who Detective Matthews is? 4 Yes. 5 Α. Who is he? 6 Q. 7 Α. He's the detective assigned to the Detective Borough of Queens. 8 And is he assigned to your precinct? 9 Q. 10 No, he's assigned to the Detective Borough of 11 Queens. 12 Now, let me ask you this, when you first spoke to Ο. Sana Awan she told you that this alleged abuse occurred at 13 14 three or 400 Community Drive, is that correct? 15 At some point in time in my conversation with her 16 she indicated that there was an incident at 400 Community 17 Drive. 18 And that was before you spoke to Mr. Gopaul, Q. 19 correct? 20 No. Α. 21 It was after you spoke to Mr. Gopaul? Q. 22 Α. Yes. And when did you have that conversation with her? 23 Q. 24 Ms. Awan had been sent to the hospital to have a checkup done to make sure she was okay. She was taken in an 25

	Shulman - People - cross 711
1	ambulance and upon her return from the hospital she
2	indicated to me that while she was on the way to the
3	hospital she saw the building and that the address was
4	400 Community Drive.
5	Q. She told you that on her own?
б	A. Yes.
7	Q. Now, how tall are you?
8	A. I'm about five ten.
9	Q. How much do you weigh?
10	A. About 250 or so, 260.
11	Q. Do you know how tall Harold Gopaul was and how
12	much he weighed on June 24th, 2008?
13	A. Not exactly.
14	MR. SCHECHTER: With the permission of the
15	Court, your Honor, I would like the jury to see the
16	first part of the video when they flash on Mr. Gopaul.
17	THE COURT: Yes.
18	Ms. Johnson?
19	MS. JOHNSON: If I can figure out how to
20	change the wires, of course.
21	(Pause in the proceedings.)
22	THE COURT: Do you want the volume,
23	Mr. Schechter?
24	MR. SCHECHTER: No.
25	MS. JOHNSON: I'm going to rewind it to the

	Shulman - People - cross 712
1	beginning.
2	MR. SCHECHTER: I don't want the volume. I
3	just want the part where it focuses in on Mr. Gopaul.
4	THE COURT: In the beginning?
5	MR. SCHECHTER: Yes.
6	(Pause in the proceedings.)
7	MR. SCHECHTER: We don't need the volume. I
8	just want the picture.
9	MS. JOHNSON: Tell me where you want it.
10	MR. SCHECHTER: Stop no, not yet.
11	(Pause in the proceedings.)
12	MR. SCHECHTER: Now stop.
13	(People's Exhibit 1 published at this time.)
14	Q. Detective Shulman
15	MR. SCHECHTER: With the Court's permission,
16	could Detective Shulman get off the witness stand?
17	THE COURT: Yeah, just watch your step as you
18	step over.
19	MS. JOHNSON: Do you want me to stay here?
20	THE COURT: If you would.
21	MS. JOHNSON: I don't know if everybody can
22	see.
23	THE COURT: Do you want the detective to
24	point out something?
25	MR. SCHECHTER: No, I'll point it out.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not?

Shulman - People - cross 713 Detective Shulman, you'll notice Mr. Gopaul's collar and you'll notice the sides of the collar are one side all the way to the left and the other side all the way to the right. Did you grab Mr. Gopaul's collar, both hands, grab forward and shove him into the wall and bring him back to you? Absolutely not. Α. Could you please explain to the jury how the collar became that stretched out? I can't account for Mr. Gopaul's clothing. Maybe he unbuttoned his shirt, I don't know. Ο. Was he that way when he came into the room? He's sitting in a chair looking like a normal person with a shirt on. THE COURT: Mr. Schechter, you want him to look at that anymore? MR. SCHECHTER: Yes, yes, I want him to look at that. Was his shirt like that when he came into the room, Detective Shulman? I don't know if his shirt was buttoned or unbuttoned.

Well, you're a trained police officer, are you

	Shulman - People - cross 714
1	A. Well, like any other human being I have a
2	recollection.
3	Q. Are you a trained police officer, yes or no?
4	A. Trained in what?
5	Q. Trained in making observations?
6	A. To some degree, yes.
7	Q. To some degree?
8	Well, you're a detective now for over seven years
9	or nine years, right?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And you didn't get to be a detective because
12	you're not an observant police officer, is that correct?
13	A. I would think not.
14	Q. Can you tell this jury whether his collar looked
15	like that when he first when you first came into the
16	room?
17	A. And, again, I don't know if his collar was opened
18	or not opened when I first came into the room and spoke to
19	him.
20	THE COURT: Mr. Schechter, in terms when
21	you say into the room, are you talking about
22	MR. SCHECHTER: I'm talking into the
23	interrogation room, not this room.
24	THE COURT: When the detective initially sees
25	him?

	Shulman - People - cross 715
1	MR. SCHECHTER: When the detective initially
2	saw him, yes.
3	Q. When you first saw him was his collar like that?
4	A. Again, when I first walked into the interview room
5	to speak to Mr. Gopaul I don't know if his collar was open
6	or not.
7	Q. Now, are you familiar with the enhanced
8	interrogation techniques that the United States Army
9	indulges in?
10	Are you familiar with that particular skill that
11	the U.S. Army trains
12	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
13	THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.
14	Q. You know that it's called enhanced interrogation,
15	do you not?
16	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
17	THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.
18	Q. Are you familiar with the Reid style of
19	interrogation, R-e-i-d?
20	A. No, I'm not.
21	Q. Okay.
22	MR. SCHECHTER: Thank you. Please close that
23	and I ask
24	Q. You can go back to the stand.
25	THE COURT: You can shut that off,

	Proceedings 716
1	Ms. Johnson.
2	(Witness resumes the stand.)
3	MR. SCHECHTER: I have no more questions of
4	the detective, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	Ms. Johnson, any redirect?
7	MS. JOHNSON: No, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: All right, detective, thank you
9	very much. You can step down. Watch your step as you
10	step off.
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.
12	(Witness excused.)
13	MS. JOHNSON: Can I step out for a second?
14	THE COURT: Yes.
15	MS. JOHNSON: Okay.
16	(Pause in the proceedings.)
17	THE COURT: Is he down the hall?
18	MS. JOHNSON: I didn't see him.
19	COURT OFFICER: 2:30 you told him.
20	THE COURT: He's not there now?
21	COURT OFFICER: No.
22	THE COURT: Members of the jury, our next
23	witness is expected to arrive at 2:30, so by our clock,
24	it's a little fast, but it appears that we're close to
25	it, but rather than having you sit here and everybody

	Proceedings 717
1	looking at each other, why don't you take an unexpected
2	break, if you will, and I'll have you back here as soon
3	as this witness is here. I apologize. Figure you got
4	ten minutes.
5	(Jury exits.)
6	MR. SCHECHTER: Your Honor, I understand
7	Detective Shulman was just speaking to one of the
8	jurors.
9	THE COURT: Look it, I didn't see anybody.
10	MR. SCHECHTER: My client observed it. He
11	just told me right out there as the jurors were filing
12	out Detective Shulman was either nodding or
13	communicating to a juror.
14	I would like Detective Shulman brought back
15	in, please.
16	THE COURT: Is he out there, please?
17	THE CLERK: Yes.
18	MS. JOHNSON: I think he was talking to
19	Detective Moran.
20	(Witness enters.)
21	THE COURT: Detective, if you could just take
22	a seat?
23	THE WITNESS: Over here?
24	THE COURT: Yeah, back on the stand.
25	(Witness resumes the stand.)

	Proceedings 718
1	THE COURT: Detective, as you were, I
2	guess you were waiting outside after you just
3	finished testifying?
4	THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Did you have any discussion with
6	any jurors as they entered or left the courtroom?
7	THE WITNESS: No, your Honor.
8	MR. SCHECHTER: Did any juror nod to him or
9	in any way make contact and make any gestures
10	whatsoever?
11	Or did you do it to him?
12	THE COURT: No, you're not going to ask the
13	questions, Mr. Schechter.
14	MR. SCHECHTER: I'm sorry.
15	THE COURT: Was there any communication,
16	verbal or nonverbal, between you and a juror?
17	THE WITNESS: No.
18	THE COURT: All right, thank you very much.
19	Step down.
20	(Witness excused.)
21	THE COURT: All right.
22	MS. JOHNSON: I'm going to call and see if
23	he's
24	THE COURT: Hopefully
25	MS. JOHNSON: He's always on time.

	Proceedings 719
1	THE COURT: Don't go too far because I want
2	to discuss, while we have some free time, this issue
3	with regard to these videos.
4	(Pause in the proceedings.)
5	THE COURT: While we have a few moments I
6	would like to pick up, Mr. Schechter, what you were
7	raising before the jury came up and that was you're
8	renewing, which I said I would allow to you do from
9	yesterday, the issue with regard to certain DVDs or
10	that you had in which you claim certain events were
11	captured by way of DVD videography regarding certain
12	family functions between your client and the
13	complainant.
14	Is there something in the record that you
15	also want to refer to other than the opening statement
16	that you mentioned a moment ago?
17	MR. SCHECHTER: No, your Honor. I believe it
18	was in the opening statement.
19	Let me have one moment, however.
20	(Pause in the proceedings.)
21	MR. SCHECHTER: Counsel asks on Page 58:
22	"Question: And when for the first time did
23	you see that massager?
24	"Answer: The beginning of May.
25	"Question: Did you see it before the
	ws

	Proceedings 720
1	beginning of May?
2	"Answer: Yes.
3	"Question: When before the beginning of May
4	did you see that massager?
5	"Answer: I'm not sure of an exact date, but
6	it was in the car before.
7	"Question: Would it be 2006, 2007, 2008?
8	"Answer: I'm not sure."
9	If I can go on
10	THE COURT: That's the massager she says was
11	not used against her, if I recall.
12	MR. SCHECHTER: Yes.
13	Question Page 51:
14	"Question: Was there anything else that you
15	were afraid of back when you were 14 years old in the
16	bathroom?
17	"MR. SCHECHTER: Objection to form.
18	"THE COURT: No, I'll allow it overruled.
19	"Question: What else were you scared of?
20	"Answer: I was scared that he would hurt me.
21	"Question: And what is it that you were
22	afraid he would do?
23	"Answer: Like, if I fought back I was scared
24	I would get beat."
25	THE COURT: And

	Proceedings 721
1	MR. SCHECHTER: Question Page 51:
2	"Question: Did the incident in the bathroom
3	have any impact on your fear in May of 2008?
4	"MR. SCHECHTER: Objection.
5	"THE COURT: Sustained. Rephrase.
6	"Question: What impact did the incident in
7	the bathroom have you on you, if any, in 2008?
8	"MR. SCHECHTER: Objection.
9	"THE COURT: Overruled.
10	"MR. SCHECHTER: Exception.
11	"THE COURT: Yes.
12	"Question: You can answer.
13	"Answer: Can you rephrase it?
14	"Question: Sure. In May of 2008 did you
15	remember what happened in the bathroom?
16	"MR. SCHECHTER: Objection.
17	"THE COURT: Yeah, overruled.
18	"Answer: Yes.
19	MR. SCHECHTER: Exception, Judge.
20	"THE COURT: Yes."
21	Page 53 well, I have to go back to
22	Page 52, I'm sorry, because it refers back to 52:
23	"Question: And what impact did that memory
24	have on you in May of 2008?
25	"Answer: I knew what he was capable of,

	Proceedings 722
1	so
2	"MR. SCHECHTER: Objection.
3	"THE COURT: Yeah, sustained. The answer is
4	stricken.
5	"Question: Are you able to answer that
6	question
7	"MR. SCHECHTER: Objection.
8	"Question: in a different way?
9	"THE COURT: Overruled."
10	Page 53:
11	"Question: Are you able to answer that
12	question in a different way?
13	"Answer: Yes.
14	"Question: What's your answer?
15	"Answer: Like, remembering what happened in
16	the bathroom, like I knew there was no point in
17	fighting back so I just did what he said, otherwise
18	"MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I'm going to ask
19	this be marked and show it to the witness."
20	This is on Page 53.
21	THE COURT: Right, I have those pages,
22	although it's a different page number than I have.
23	Well, let me just say this.
24	In the first instance, in this Court's view,
25	it's my understanding that you're proffering these
!	ws

723 Proceedings tapes -- and, by the way, they depict events, I think 1 you indicated yesterday, in either late 2007 or the --2 up to March of 2008? 3 MR. SCHECHTER: To the best of my knowledge 4 5 and recollection. THE COURT: So obviously here with regard 6 to -- and, just so it's also clear, I haven't seen the 7 tapes, but from what you've represented it's 8 essentially the complainant in this case, if you will, 9 Ms. Awan herself, taking videos or photos of your 10 client and other people, is that right? 11 12 MR. SCHECHTER: Yes, mostly my client, but my client sometimes with other people, sometimes of my 13 14 client exclusively. THE COURT: And there's no videos of the 15 16 complainant and your client in there, in these videos? 17 MR. SCHECHTER: Well, there is one where she's dancing and I think either my client or 18 19 Mrs. Gopaul is taking the video. However, I do not believe that that would be one of the ones that I would 20 be offering. 21 22 THE COURT: All right, so, in essence, it's 23

videos that you believe she's taking of your client.

MR. SCHECHTER: Yes -- well, I know she's

24

25

taking them. She admitted she took videos.

WS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Proceedings

724

THE COURT: I don't even know whether she did or didn't on the witness stand. I don't know how far she got with it.

And I take it from your proffer is that this is -- this, you believe, her shooting, if you will, or taking shots with a video camera of your client, in your words, focusing in on him --

MR. SCHECHTER: There's more than that, your Honor.

Not only is she taking videos of my client, but she's laughing, teasing, prodding, poking, showing that she is very much amused and fond of my client in what she says during the tape in the video pictures. It's obvious that she's keyed into my client in a very favorable way, not being threatened, not being forced, not being under any duress, during the time when she says, "I had this constant memory when this occurred in 2004 and this was recurring and always in my mind until 2008."

Counsel was able to draw that out from her.

THE COURT: I have to differ from you as far as what she drew out and what she may be able to arque to the jury.

As far as I'm concerned, her testimony regarding the event in '04, the initial event I allowed

	Proceedings 725
7	han to alimit an four to this Count is songouned dools
1	her to elicit, as far as this Court is concerned deals
2	with an element of force as she described it in which
3	she claimed that she couldn't resist, physically, your
4	client.
5	I know what Ms. Johnson maybe would have
6	liked to elicit, but in terms of the testimony that I
7	have, I'm not sure that that is what was elicited.
8	Essentially, she said "What were you
9	scared of," she's asked.
10	MR. SCHECHTER: Page, your Honor?
11	THE COURT: Mine is Page 4, but it says, "I
12	was scared, like, that if my mom came " I read this
13	in the record yesterday, "I was scared that if my mom
14	came in and saw him doing anything that I would cause
15	them, you know, the breakup of their family. Like, I
16	was scared I would mess up the family."
17	That's not talking about fear of your client.
18	So that's one answer that came out.
19	She does say that, "I was scared he would
20	hurt me," at the time of the incident based upon her
21	physically being unable to resist him. That's on the
22	following page.
23	MR. SCHECHTER: Hopefully, the record is
24	clear, your Honor.
25	Is 53 the official court page, official page
	ws

Proceedings

of the transcript, or is 4?

THE COURT: Whatever page it is, I'm reading from the transcript that I have. I mean, the pagination may be off, but it's not different than what you have. I certainly trust it.

MR. SCHECHTER: I can certainly show this to your Honor if your Honor wishes to read what I read into the record.

THE COURT: I'm not saying that you're misrepresenting what's read in the record, but, again, I don't think that the videos in themselves are -- can be characterized as prior inconsistent statements, obviously, number one, because they're not statements of Ms. Awan, so that's clearly not the case.

Number two, the time in which these videos are taken are clearly many years after this incident in '04 that she testified to. I quite frankly think she only referred to being scared, one, of not being able to physically resist him at the time and, two, that she was scared of the breakup of her family if she was to reveal this to anybody.

So, in terms of a context, a time context, you're saying that these instances refer to a period of time that is not contained in the indictment, is not contained in terms of part of the testimony that was

	Proceedings 727
1	elicited as part of the Molineaux application and I
2	really don't think that it's proper impeachment of this
3	witness. It doesn't it's not videos where she's
4	shown to be affectionate, for example, with your client
5	at or about the times of the indictment or at or about
6	the time of the incident in May that are depicted in
7	there.
8	In fact, from what you're telling me,
9	Ms. Awan is not depicted in it at all.
10	MR. SCHECHTER: Ms. Awan is the photographer.
11	THE COURT: I understand that and I'm basing
12	my decision based on your representation. I didn't see
13	it.
14	MR. SCHECHTER: I offered to play it to the
15	Court.
16	THE COURT: I'm taking what you're telling me
17	is accurate. So I don't know if me seeing it
18	necessarily is going to change my ruling. I'm assuming
19	that what you're telling me is accurate and that's what
20	I'm basing my decision on.
21	So for those reasons I don't really think
22	that it would be admissible as some type of either
23	prior inconsistent statement or inconsistent with what
24	she's really testified to.

I mean, we have to keep in mind that she said

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Proceedings

728

throughout her testimony that when she was in public, which these are videos of her -- not her, but videos of your client with other people around, that her demeanor, her behavior, her interaction with your client and the family -- and her family and others was normal.

In other words, there was nothing about it that was any, you know, different than what she said took place between you and your client -- I should say her and your client privately.

So, again, I don't think it's inconsistent with what she's testified to either in a statement or -- one, or, two, in terms of showing some type of demeanor that's inconsistent with what she's testified to as to how she behaved during --

MR. SCHECHTER: I think your Honor is unintentionally mischaracterizing that statement.

I think Ms. Awan said that in public my client was with the family, he was normal, however he conducts himself with the family, not she. She was not referring to how she conducted herself. She was referring to how he conducted himself when he was in public and with the family, not how she did. And I'm entitled to draw whatever inferences that I can draw.

Certainly if I -- from these videos -- and,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Proceedings

729

again, I had offered to play them to the Court yesterday, I offer to play them at any time the Court wishes. From these videos it's not just the video of Sana taking pictures of my client, focusing on him, concentrating on him, it's her statements when she's doing it, her laughter, her joyous demeanor, how she's interacting with my client, during the period of time when counsel was able to draw out, as I read into the record, that she was somehow under this cloud of fear from that event in 2004 all the way until 2008. was -- it's clear and it's unequivocal, those were the words that she used to try to draw out the fear and the reason she tried to do that is because she was afraid that Sana did not every time she was allegedly abused have force used against her so she wanted to try to draw out to the jury, apparently, that she was under this cloud of fright.

THE COURT: Let me just kind of assuage your concerns in this sense.

The People are not going to be able to argue, based upon what I've seen during the testimony, there's some kind of cloud of fear that went on. I understand what the DA, the Assistant, said in her statement. As far as I'm concerned there hasn't been developed an adequate record to make those assertions in her closing

	Proceedings 730
1	arguments.
2	As far as I'm concerned, her testimony
3	regarding this incident in '04 04, '05, really is
4	talks about an element of force that was used at that
5	time and the next discussion about her really being in
6	fear of the defendant concerns the times in May and
7	June of' 08 which is what this jury is going to be
8	considering in this indictment.
9	MR. SCHECHTER: Your Honor, I respectfully
10	submit that that's like trying to unring a bell. All
11	of this stuff was put before the jury, in her opening
12	statement
13	THE COURT: Her opening statement is not
14	evidence.
15	MR. SCHECHTER: May I please continue, Judge?
16	THE COURT: Yes.
17	MR. SCHECHTER: In her opening statement
18	followed by the questions I read into the record as an
19	exclamation point.
20	The fact is, she backed it up with the
21	questions to this witness and the questions that the
22	Court permitted the witness to answer do permit a
23	reference back.
24	The fact that the Court might now, sua
25	sponte, limit counsel's summation to that to not

she put before the jury.

Proceedings

include that, as I said, you got 12 jurors here, it's like unringing a bell and my client cannot have been otherwise been prejudiced by the introduction ab initio of that material as I objected to in the beginning.

I'm only trying to negate this cloud of fear argument

THE COURT: Again, I seem to say things,

Mr. Schechter, and you seem to not be listening to what

I'm saying.

Cloud of fear is not going to be a word -- if it does get used, if there's an objection, it will be sustained, as far as from '04 to '07 and -- from '04, this incident that was testified to, until the incident that this jury is considering in terms of the indictment.

Whether or not you want to elicit these tapes if you feel that it's some part of your defense case, that's a separate issue.

And whether or not you want to seek to use them for some other reason, you're not for closed from doing that.

But at this point I've made my ruling. You have the reasons for my ruling and at this point I'm not going to modify it at this point.

MR. SCHECHTER: Please note my exception.

ws

	Proceedings 732
1	And, further, I just need to be heard
2	again
3	THE COURT: Mr. Schechter, I think you've
4	been heard you made a record. You've now excepted.
5	I have a jury that's waiting. I told them ten minutes
6	ago they would be here so, please, I don't want to
7	if it's something that's short, to the point, please.
8	MR. SCHECHTER: It's very short.
9	The only conceivable way that that could come
10	in is for my client to waive his Constitutional right
11	to testify.
12	THE COURT: That's absolutely incorrect and
13	that's a misrepresentation on your part.
14	Okay, let's go.
15	(Brief recess taken in the proceedings.)
16	(Jury enters.)
17	THE COURT: All right, we're ready to
18	proceed.
19	People, your next witness?
20	MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, the People call
21	detective Edward Moran.
22	EDMOND MORAN, a witness called on behalf of the
23	People, having been first duly sworn by the clerk of
24	the Court, was examined and testified under oath as
25	follows:

Moran - People - direct 733 COURT OFFICER: You could take a state. 1 For the record, state your name, spell your 2 last name, shield number, rank and command. 3 THE WITNESS: Edmond Moran, M-o-r-a-n, Shield 4 Number 827, Nassau County Police Department, special 5 victim's squad. 6 7 THE COURT: Ms. Johnson? 8 MS. JOHNSON: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION 9 BY MS. JOHNSON: 10 Good afternoon, Detective Moran. 11 12 Α. Good afternoon. 13 How long have you been employed by the Nassau Ο. County Police Department? 14 15 Α. About 22-1/2. 16 Can you tell the members of the jury, over those 17 22 years where have you been -- what assignments have you been assigned to? 18 19 Α. The first nine years I was in patrol, in uniform 20 patrol, and then I spent two and a half years as a detective 21 in the 8th Squad and then for the last 11 years I've been in 22 special victim's squad. What types of cases do you handle as a detective 23 Q. 24 assigned to the special victim's squad? 25 Α. The special victim's squad, we handle cases of

	Moran - People - direct 734
1	sexual abuse, rapes and sodomies, victims both male and
2	female of all different ages.
3	Q. Were you in a detective assigned to the special
4	victim's squad back in 2008?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And were you assigned there back on July 22nd,
7	2008?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Did there come a time when you met with a victim?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. What was her name?
12	A. Anna Sana Awan, A-w-a-n.
13	Q. Sana Awan?
14	A. Sana Awan, yes.
15	Q. What was the reason you met with Sana Awan?
16	A. Earlier, a few weeks earlier, I was notified by
17	New York City Police Department that they had
18	MR. SCHECHTER: Objection.
19	THE COURT: Yeah, just you were notified by
20	New York City Police Department?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.
22	THE COURT: All right, go ahead.
23	Q. Were you going to be handling a Nassau County case
24	involving Sana Awan?
25	A. Yes.

	Moran - People - direct 735
1	Q. And were you the detective in Nassau County
2	assigned to that case?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Did you have the opportunity to actually interview
5	Sana Awan?
6	A. Yes, I did.
7	Q. When you interviewed Ms. Awan what periods of time
8	were the substance of your interview of her?
9	A. I was investigating incidents that occurred in the
10	month of May and June of 2008.
11	Q. In Nassau County?
12	A. That's correct.
13	Q. When you spoke to Ms. Awan did you advise her what
14	time period you were going to be speaking with her about?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. When you spoke to Ms. Awan did you advise her
17	MR. SCHECHTER: Objection to the leading,
18	your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.
20	Q. Did you tell Ms. Awan
21	MR. SCHECHTER: Objection to the form of the
22	question.
23	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Schechter, please,
24	I've said this to both of you, if you'll let each other
25	finish the question before you object?

Moran - People - direct 736 Would you finish, please? 1 Did you tell Ms. Awan what you were going to be 2 speaking with her about? 3 MR. SCHECHTER: Objection. 4 THE COURT: Yeah, overruled. 5 Yes. 6 Α. 7 Did there come a time when you took a statement Q. from her? 8 9 Α. Yes. And was that a verbal or written statement? 10 Q. The interview was verbal, of course, and the 11 Α. 12 statement was typed -- written -- the statement was a 13 two-page typed statement. 14 Q. What was the purpose of that? 15 To memorialize the interview, our discussion, Α. 16 about what she states has happened to her and she reads it 17 and signs it. 18 Q. Was that, in fact, done in this particular case? 19 Yes. Α. 20 And when you say interview, what happens during --Ο. 21 what happened during the interview process? 22 In any of my cases the person making the 23 allegations would be sitting --24 MR. SCHECHTER: Objection to what happens in many of his cases, Judge, we're talking about this 25

WS

737 Moran - People - direct 1 case. THE COURT: Could you just tell us what 2 happened in this case? 3 What happened in this case, the person making the 4 Α. allegation sits in a room with me and we discuss what 5 happened. I ask questions. They answer questions and we go 6 into detail. 7 In this case did you take notes during your 8 Ο. 9 interview with Ms. Awan? 10 Α. Yes, I did. 11 What was the purpose of that? The immediate interview with her I ask her 12 13 questions about what happened so we both know what we're 14 talking about and I make an outline and just take notes of 15 what we're talking about prior to the actual typed statement, which is more details. 16 17 When you say outline what does that mean? 18 Α. It's my way of just taking notes during an 19 interview to refresh my memory as to what we're talking 20 about so that there is an order and a sequence and I don't 21 forget things. 22 Are those notes the actual verbatim statement of 23 the complainant? 24 Yes, it's -- it's -- we speak and I write it down, Α. 25 yes.

	Moran - People - direct 738
1	Q. Is it a complete statement?
2	A. No, it's not.
3	MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I'm going to ask
4	for what's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit R for
5	identification purposes?
6	THE COURT: Defendant's R?
7	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, I would ask for that.
8	MR. SCHECHTER: I have to find it, Judge.
9	May I have some time?
10	I have to look.
11	THE COURT: Yes.
12	(Pause in the proceedings.)
13	MR. SCHECHTER: I would have gotten it if
14	counsel had informed me in advance. I'm going to need
15	a few minutes to get it, Judge.
16	THE COURT: Let me ask you, Ms. Johnson, do
17	you have a copy yourself of what's previously been
18	marked Defendant's R?
19	MS. JOHNSON: I believe I do and, in fact, I
20	believe Detective Moran may have the original with him
21	as we speak.
22	THE COURT: All right, so, if you have a
23	copy
24	MS. JOHNSON: I think I do.
25	THE COURT: show it to Mr. Schechter and

	Moran - People - direct 739
1	we'll mark that a People's exhibit.
2	MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, with the Court's
3	permission, if Detective Moran could produce an
4	original of his handwritten notes from his case jacket?
5	THE COURT: All right, detective his notes
6	or what you believe to be Defendant's R?
7	MS. JOHNSON: I believe that is
8	Defendant's R.
9	THE COURT: The notes?
10	MS. JOHNSON: Correct.
11	THE COURT: Detective, do you have your notes
12	of your conversation with Ms. Awan?
13	THE WITNESS: I do.
14	MS. JOHNSON: The overview notes.
15	THE COURT: We'll mark them.
16	(Shown to counsel.)
17	MR. SCHECHTER: Oh, I have that.
18	THE COURT: People's we'll mark that
19	People's 15 for identification.
20	MS. JOHNSON: Do you have that?
21	MR. SCHECHTER: Um-hum.
22	MS. JOHNSON: I'll take that.
23	THE COURT: This is Defendant's R,
24	Ms. Johnson?
25	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, it is. I'm going to
	ws

		Moran - People - direct 7-	40
1		return the original to the detective.	
2		(Shown to witness.)	
3		Q. Detective Moran, could you take a look at	
4	Defe	endant's R for identification?	
5		A. Yes.	
6		Q. Do you recognize that?	
7		A. Yes, I do.	
8		Q. What is it?	
9		A. These are the this is the outline of the	notes
10	I've	taken during the interview with Sana Awan.	
11		Q. And when you say overview, you're referring	to
12		A. Interview, I said.	
13		Q. Is that the statement that Sana Awan made to	you
14	that	you referred to as the two-page statement?	
15		A. No, it's not.	
16		MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I would ask t	his be
17		marked as People's	
18		THE COURT: 15.	
19		MS. JOHNSON: 15 for identification.	•
20		MR. SCHECHTER: Objection, going to ask	c for a
21		sidebar.	
22		THE COURT: Well, let's mark the item a	and see
23		where Ms. Johnson goes with it.	
24		(People's Exhibit 15 marked for	
25		identification.)	

Moran - People - direct 741 (Shown to witness.) 1 Detective Moran if you could take a look at 2 Q. People's 15 for identification purposes? 3 Α. Yes. 4 5 Do you recognize that? Q. Yes, I do. 6 Α. 7 What do you recognize it to be? Q. This is the two-page statement --8 Α. MR. SCHECHTER: Objection, sidebar, 9 application. 10 THE COURT: No, your objection is overruled. 11 12 MR. SCHECHTER: Sorry, overruled, Judge? 13 THE COURT: Yeah, overruled. 14 Detective, do you recognize it? Q. 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. What is it? 17 Α. This is a two-page typed statement of Sana Awan. 18 Is that the complete statement that you took from Q. 19 Sana Awan? 20 It is. Α. Can you tell us how it was that you went from one 21 22 page of handwritten notes to a two-page statement? 23 Α. Yes, the handwritten notes are a guideline during the interview and as I do the interview I ask them -- ask 24 25 her to elaborate on different points and when she tells me

Moran - People - direct 742 1 what happened I type it. And is that what is depicted in that two-page 2 3 statement? Α. Yes. 4 Were you present when -- withdrawn. 5 Q. Did you observe Ms. Awan sign that two-page 6 7 statement? Α. I did. 8 Q. Did she make any corrections on it? 9 10 Α. No, she did not. 11 Did she indicate it was an accurate statement of 12 what she reported to you? 13 Yes. Α. 14 MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, we would offer that 15 into evidence. 16 MR. SCHECHTER: Objection. 17 THE COURT: All right, come on up, both of 18 you. 19 COURT OFFICER: Detective, step down, please, 20 over here. 21 (Witness steps down.) (Sidebar conference held as follows:) 22 23 MR. SCHECHTER: I raised this objection 24 before, as the Court recalls --25 THE COURT: What's your offer on this?

Moran - People - direct

MS. JOHNSON: Yes, your Honor.

When Ms. Awan was asked to identify Detective Moran's notes counsel implied before the jury that she eliminated certain things from his handwritten notes indicating that there was a recent fabrication of her testimony.

THE COURT: She eliminated certain --

MS. JOHNSON: From her statement to Detective Moran because in Detective Moran's handwritten notes things about the knife and things about the massager were not there.

He confronted her about that, tried to impeach her with somebody else's handwritten notes, fully knowing that there was a two-page statement from her where she indicated all of that.

MR. SCHECHTER: I'm entitled to go into what I get in discovery. It was his initial notes, an inexperienced detective, and he omitted any mention of a force.

As a matter of fact, I believe they originally drew it up as a misdemeanor, B misdemeanor, sexual abuse, that's how incomplete his notes were.

This is not a recent fabrication. Counsel is mistaken about my -- I never claimed a recent fabrication.

Moran - People - direct

She is trying to get in a prior consistent statement which is improperly bolstering, violates the CPL and improper and prejudice to my client to the point I believe would require a mistrial.

MS. JOHNSON: He tried to impeach her by omission, which is exactly what he is not permitted to do, by indicating there were things missing from her statement knowing full well there are things in her statement --

MR. SCHECHTER: I respectfully disagree with counsel on that.

THE COURT: I think it's pretty clear,
Mr. Schechter, that you did ask questions about
Detective Moran's notes, but I also think you
established that it was Detective Moran's notes, not
any statement that she made.

Would I be correct in that?

MS. JOHNSON: Yes.

However, I don't think it was clarified that -- I think it was left open that she omitted to say things to Detective Moran based on those handwritten notes because she was not confronted with her statement, she was confronted improperly with somebody else's notes.

MR. SCHECHTER: I dispute counsel's

Moran - People - direct 745 represent --1 THE COURT: I certainly think you can arque 2 that she was never confronted with any statement she 3 made of hers in which she claimed to have omitted 4 anything. That you can certainly say. 5 MS. JOHNSON: Then if your Honor --6 THE COURT: But, I tell you what, I'm not 7 8 going to allow her written statement to come in here based upon the record that I have in front of me that I 9 think it's, quite frankly, I think it's clearly 10 11 bolstering. 12 How far Mr. Schechter may have pursued it, I 13 don't think -- the difficulty I have is trying to identify what it was that he may have elicited from her 14 15 that he claimed wasn't there that is in here. 16 MS. JOHNSON: Would your Honor please permit 17 me, if you're not going to permit me to put that in, to inquire of the detective whether or not she told him 18 19 those factors which counsel tried to indicate that she 20 did not say to him. 21 MR. SCHECHTER: That's hearsay. 22 THE COURT: What other factors did he ask Ms. Awan that are not in this statement? 23 24 MS. JOHNSON: About the knife, about the 25 vibrator and if she was in fear and it's exactly in

	Moran - People - direct 746
1	that statement.
2	THE COURT: I think it's pretty clear,
3	Mr. Schechter, you did ask her about those.
4	MR. SCHECHTER: I merely asked her if she
5	told the officer, made the statement attributed to the
6	officer, and whether she omitted mentioning that
7	statement
8	THE COURT: No, wait a minute, you gave her
9	the notes.
10	MR. SCHECHTER: His statement.
11	THE COURT: Not his statement, his notes.
12	MR. SCHECHTER: His notes.
13	THE COURT: And then you asked her isn't it
14	true that X isn't in there and X isn't in there and X
15	isn't in there?
16	In other words, that this isn't in there,
17	that isn't in there.
18	MR. SCHECHTER: I don't think I was that
19	particular.
20	MS. JOHNSON: We have the minutes.
21	THE COURT: Give me the minutes.
22	(Shown to Court.)
23	(Pause in the proceedings.)
24	MR. SCHECHTER: I think you had limited me
25	THE COURT: Let me finish.

Moran - People - direct 747 (Pause in the proceedings.) 1 THE COURT: All right, could I see the both 2 of you? 3 (Sidebar conference continues as follows:) 4 5 It appears to me after a lot of colloquy going back and forth you, Mr. Schechter, asked the 6 7 question, "And in that statement you never told him that you were threatened with a knife, did you?" 8 9 You object, I sustained it. Next question. 10 "Question: Isn't it a fact you never told 11 12 him about being threatened with a knife in this interview on July 22nd?" 13 14 There's an objection and then there's an extended bench conference about what I was trying to 15 16 say you could or could not do, given the fact it was 17 Detective Moran's notes as opposed to any statement by 18 her. 19 MR. SCHECHTER: Right. 20 THE COURT: You wanted to refresh her 21 recollection. I basically said to you I don't want you 22 to ask, "Didn't you tell Detective Moran this," based 23 upon something that's his notes. 24 You disagreed. You cited Richardson's. 25 you say -- this is Mr. Schechter, "Not unless I

	Moran - People - direct 748
1	represent this is a recent fabrication, which I'm not."
2	And then the next question, "Isn't it a fact
3	that in your original statement to Detective Moran you
4	never mentioned that you were forced?"
5	That's the question. There was an objection.
6	I asked you to read back the question. I sustained the
7	objection.
8	And then I said, "Just so we're clear,
9	Mr. Schechter, that's based upon Defendant's R, am I
10	correct," because at the time I didn't know what
11	Defendant's R was or what you had in your hand.
12	You said, "Yes, your Honor.
13	"THE COURT: For ID?
14	"Mr. Schechter: Yes."
15	And then it goes on to ask about, "Isn't it a
16	fact you were really scared of Mr. Gopaul you
17	weren't scared of Mr. Gopaul, you were scared of the
18	welfare of your family?"
19	MR. SCHECHTER: That has nothing to do with
20	this.
21	THE COURT: And he's not referring to
22	Defendant's R.
23	So the objection is sustained.
24	MR. SCHECHTER: Thank you, your Honor.
25	(Sidebar conference concludes.)

	Moran - People - cross 749
1	THE COURT: All right, detective, you could
2	resume the stand.
3	(Witness resumes the stand.)
4	MR. SCHECHTER: Instruction to the jury?
5	THE COURT: Pardon?
6	MR. SCHECHTER: Could the Court instruct the
7	jury?
8	THE COURT: Members of the jury, I apologize
9	for the delay. As you could tell, we were looking at
10	some transcripts here, but the objection raised by the
11	defendant is sustained.
12	MS. JOHNSON: I have nothing else for
13	Detective Moran.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Schechter?
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. SCHECHTER:
17	Q. Detective Moran, do you have your original
18	complaint report for July 22?
19	Do you have documents, July 22 interview with the
20	complainant?
21	A. I have the case report.
22	Q. May I see it, please?
23	A. Yeah.
24	(Shown to counsel.)
25	MS. JOHNSON: Could we please have it marked,

750 Moran - People - cross your Honor? 1 2 THE COURT: Only if Mr. Schechter wants it marked. 3 4 MR. SCHECHTER: Give me a second, please. (Pause in the proceedings.) 5 MR. SCHECHTER: I have this. I'm returning 6 7 this to the detective. 8 (Shown to witness.) Now, detective, how long is it that you've been a 9 Q. detective? 10 Since 1995, so about 13 years. 11 12 Q. And you've been a police officer for a considerable period of time before that, isn't that correct? 13 14 Α. Yes. And as a detective you understand, of course, your 15 first interview with a complainant is very important, do you 16 17 not? 18 Α. Yes. 19 As such, in your interview it is imperative for 20 you to note down the very important parts of the elements of 21 what she says is a crime, isn't that true? 22 MS. JOHNSON: Objection. 23 MR. SCHECHTER: I'll rephrase the question. 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 Q. It's important to get the material parts of what

Moran - People - cross 751 she says happened to her down on paper, isn't that true? 1 2 Α. Yes. And especially true when you do write notes is to Ο. 3 obtain information concerning force, is that true? 4 MS. JOHNSON: Objection. 5 THE COURT: I'll sustain it as to form. 6 MR. SCHECHTER: Okay. 7 8 Ο. Would you say that whether or not a complainant 9 has been subjected to force is something which is a material part of a statement that you believe is important to obtain 10 11 from the complainant, correct? 12 Α. Are you referring to any case or this case? 13 Any case involving forceful sexual abuse? Ο. 14 Yes. Α. 15 But you didn't do that on July 22, did you? Q. I didn't do what? 16 Α. 17 You did not write down in your July 22 notes Q. 18 anything with respect to a knife being used against Ms. Awan, did you? 19 I believe I did. 20 Α. 21 Q. In your July 22 notes? 22 Α. I believe so. 23 Can I check? MR. SCHECHTER: Let me see -- counsel, do you 24 have that document, please, R? 25

Moran - People - cross 752 MS. JOHNSON: No. 1 2 It's still up here. Α. Please look at Exhibit R. 3 Q. 4 Are those your notes? 5 Α. Yes. All right, now, would you please tell me where in 6 your notes you say that Mr. Gopaul forced her to have sex 7 with him with a knife? 8 9 MS. JOHNSON: Objection. THE COURT: No, I'll allow that. 10 11 Α. That wasn't the allegation. The allegation was the fear. 12 13 So she never told you on July 22 that she was Ο. 14 threatened with a knife, is that your testimony? She did. 15 Α. 16 But you didn't put that down in your notes, did Q. 17 you? 18 Α. It's not in the notes it's --19 Q. It's not in your notes? 20 Α. Correct. 21 MR. SCHECHTER: May I have a moment, Judge? 22 THE COURT: Yes. 23 (Pause in the proceedings.) 24 Matter of fact you treated this as a sex abuse --Q. 25 as a misdemeanor, didn't you?

	Moran - People - cross 753
1	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
2	THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.
3	Q. Matter of fact, she told you he always had a knife
4	in the truck, didn't she?
5	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
6	THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.
7	MR. SCHECHTER: Your Honor
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	MR. SCHECHTER: May I approach as to the
10	THE COURT: Yes, come on up.
11	(Witness steps down.)
12	(Sidebar conference held as follows:)
13	MR. SCHECHTER: May I ask why you're
14	sustaining an objection to what's in his statement?
15	He says in his transcribed statement that he
16	always had a knife in his truck.
17	THE COURT: You're asking him about something
18	somebody said out of court. It's a hearsay statement.
19	MR. SCHECHTER: No, it's an admission as to
20	the complainant. It's not a hearsay statement, Judge.
21	It's an inconsistent statement of the complainant where
22	she testified that she didn't see she didn't see it
23	before May. Here she tells him he always had the knife
24	in the truck.
25	THE COURT: All right, you're referring to

	Moran - People - cross 754
1	her notes or the written statement?
2	MR. SCHECHTER: His notes.
3	THE COURT: Can I see it?
4	MR. SCHECHTER: Sure.
5	(Shown to Court.)
б	THE COURT: I think you asked her the one
7	thing that you did establish was that she testified she
8	didn't say you did establish that.
9	MR. SCHECHTER: I want to make sure that I
10	established it just I'm not sure if I did or not,
11	there's so many objections.
12	THE COURT: To me it's still a hearsay
13	statement of the witness, so the fact that she's the
14	complainant really is of no moment in my view and I
15	think she testified when you crossed her on this that
16	she said that in the statement.
17	MR. SCHECHTER: I believe it's an
18	inconsistent statement. As such, it's admissible, your
19	Honor, because it's an admission, otherwise no
20	inconsistent statement would be admissible.
21	THE COURT: I think you established the
22	inconsistency through the person who made the
23	inconsistent statement.
24	MR. SCHECHTER: As long as you allow me to
25	mention that to the jury I have no problem with that.

Moran - People - cross 755

MS. JOHNSON: Well, actually, when he confronted her with it she clarified that she was talking about May and June. So when he questions her about whether or not he always had a knife she said the first time she saw it was in May, not outside of the times charged in the indictment.

So she never said that he always has it as in always and forever, she testified as to May in June.

Either way, it's improper impeachment, you can't impeach detective with her testimony.

MR. SCHECHTER: Who says I'm impeaching him?

THE COURT: I don't think she said that that was not there or that she -- she may have tried to qualify, but clearly she said yeah. That's in his notes.

MR. SCHECHTER: As long as that's conceded and I can use that on summation that's fine.

THE COURT: I would think you would be entitled to.

MR. SCHECHTER: I'm just concerned if there is a readback and there's a qualification it won't be there. She clearly says in the statement he always had a knife in the truck, Judge.

MS. JOHNSON: That is mischaracterizing this testimony.

756 Moran - People - cross This detective is only talking to her about 1 May and June of 2008 in Nassau County and exactly what 2 she said is that she didn't see the knife in the van 3 before May of 2008. 4 THE COURT: Just so there's no doubt about it 5 I'm going to allow Mr. Schechter to ask the question, 6 7 you can explore it on redirect examination, as to what time period is referred to. 8 9 MS. JOHNSON: Okay. (Sidebar conference concludes.) 10 THE COURT: All right, detective. 11 (Witness resumes the stand.) 12 Detective, in your notes of July 22, 2008, there's 13 Q. 14 nothing at all mentioned about fear, is there? Please look at your notes? 15 Α. Correct. 16 17 Ο. And she told you he always had a knife in the truck, correct? 18 Yes. 19 Α. 20 That's what you wrote down? Q. Correct. 21 Α. She didn't tell you -- withdrawn. 22 Q. 23 Now, there came a time when you investigated where you believed the actual location of the alleged sexual 24 25 assault took place, is that correct?

		Moran - People - cross 757
1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	And you later ascertained that to be withdrawn.
3		And you later ascertained that Mr. Gopaul, in
4	fact, worl	ked at 600 Community Drive not 400 Community Drive,
5	is that t	rue?
6	Α.	Repeat the question?
7	Q.	Yes.
8		Did you later ascertain that the address was 600
9	Community	Drive, not 400 Community Drive?
10	A.	The place of occurrence?
11	Q.	The alleged place of occurrence?
12	Α.	Yes, 600 Community Drive.
13	Q.	And you did that by the complainant pointing that
14	out to you	u?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	Okay, and you took pictures of that area, no?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	Did she indicate where within Community Drive, 600
19	Community	Drive, the alleged assault took place?
20	A.	She did.
21	Q.	And did she say it was in the vicinity of the
22	security (office?
23	A.	She said that it was in the back parking lot
24	behind the	e building.
25	Q.	When you went there was it on a weekday?

	Moran - People - cross 758
1	A. A weekday, yes.
2	Q. A lot of cars there, no?
3	A. Reasonable.
4	Q. Sorry?
5	A. Just a reasonable amount of cars.
6	Q. What day were you there?
7	A. I don't recall the exact date. It was prior to
8	the July 22nd interview.
9	Q. You remember what day of the week it was?
10	A. No, I'm sorry.
11	Q. But it was during the week, correct?
12	A. Yes. I don't work weekends.
13	Q. Okay. Do you have a calendar with you, detective?
14	A. Not for 2008.
15	MR. SCHECHTER: I would like these marked, if
16	the Court pleases, as Defendant's Z
17	THE COURT: Let's go from Z to double A.
18	MR. SCHECHTER: Z, AA, BB.
19	(Defendant's Exhibits Z, AA and BB marked for
20	identification.)
21	THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Schechter.
22	(Shown to witness.)
23	Q. Detective, would you please look at those
24	photographs?
25	Do those photographs represent the parking lot at

WS

	Moran - People - cross 759
1	600 Community Drive?
2	A. I don't see a building number on it, but there are
3	two or three buildings up there that have the same shape and
4	similar design.
5	Q. But May 6, 2009, would you agree that is a
6	weekday?
7	Do you need a calendar to refresh your
8	recollection?
9	A. I have a 2009 calendar.
10	Q. Okay.
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay, and you would agree it's very hard to get a
13	picture of 600 Old and at the same time get the picture
14	of the parking lot, you would agree?
15	A. Say that again?
16	Q. It's difficult to get a picture of 600
17	withdrawn.
18	There is a 600 in the front, a big 600 number, no
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. And you have a picture of that with you, correct?
21	A. Yes, yes.
22	Q. Would you please take that out?
23	(Witness complies.)
24	Q. Okay, now would you please examine your picture
25	and tell me if you can ascertain if that picture that is
}	

Moran - People - cross 760 600 Community Drive, correct? 1 2 Α. Yes. And aside from that one number there's no other Ο. 3 indicia or marking of 600 Community Drive in the parking 4 5 lot, correct? 6 Α. Correct. 7 Q. Okay. Now, you look at those photographs. 8 Those photographs show a very full parking lot, correct? 9 Α. Yes. 10 11 Ο. And that's on a week day of 2009, correct? 12 Α. Yes. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that would be 13 14 different in June 2008? 15 MS. JOHNSON: Objection. 16 THE COURT: I'll allow it. 17 You can answer that. 18 Α. Depending on the hour of the day --19 Q. Right. 20 -- I think it would be different. Α. 21 You ascertained that Mr. Gopaul has one of his 22 customers at 600 Old Country Road -- I'm sorry, 600 Community Drive, correct? 23 24 Α. Yes. And that has been over a long period of time, is 25

Moran - People - redirect 761 1 that right? 2 Α. I believe so. So presumably Mr. Gopaul would know 600 Community 3 Ο. Drive is where he has a client, would that be right? 4 MS. JOHNSON: Objection. 5 THE COURT: Yeah, sustained. 6 MR. SCHECHTER: No more questions of the 7 8 officer, Judge. 9 THE COURT: Any redirect? MS. JOHNSON: Briefly, Judge. 10 11 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MS. JOHNSON: Detective Moran, do you recall being asked 13 Ο. 14 questions on cross-examination by the defense attorney about whether or not Sana Awan told that you she was in fear? 15 16 Yes. Α. 17 And do you recall answering Mr. Schechter's 18 question that she did indicate to you that she was in fear? Α. 19 Yes. 20 Q. Did you memorialize that anywhere? 21 Yes. Α. 22 Q. Where did you memorialize that? 23 In the two-page typed statement. Α. 24 Q. Whose two-page typed statement? 25 Α. Anna -- I'm sorry, Sana Awan.

	Moran - People - redirect 762
1	Q. Do you recall being asked by Mr. Schechter on
2	cross-examination whether or not Ms. Awan indicated that
3	there were always knives in the defendant's vehicle?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And do you recall indicating that she did indicate
6	that there was
7	MR. SCHECHTER: Judge, she is leading the
8	witness.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Schechter, please.
10	MR. SCHECHTER: Objection, objection.
11	THE COURT: Can I have the question read
12	back?
13	(Record read.)
14	THE COURT: All right, can I have the rest of
15	the question, for the umpteenth time, before there's an
16	objection?
17	Q. Detective Moran, do you recall being asked by
18	Mr. Schechter whether or not Ms. Awan told you that there
19	were always knives in the defendant's van?
20	Do you recall being asked that question?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And do you recall what your answer was?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. What was your answer?
25	A. That I don't recall the exact words, but I

Moran - People - redirect 763 think the answer was yes. 1 2 And what period of time was the focus of your interview involving Ms. Awan? 3 Α. May and June of 2008. 4 Was that the only period of time that you spoke to 5 Q. Ms. Awan about? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 Ο. And was the substance of her statement to you involving solely May and June of 2008? 9 MR. SCHECHTER: Objection, that calls for 10 11 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Schechter, again, 12 you have an objection? 13 MR. SCHECHTER: Objection. 14 THE COURT: All right, overruled. 15 MR. SCHECHTER: May I have a sidebar with 16 respect to the specifics of my objection, Judge? 17 THE COURT: Come on up. 18 (Witness steps down.) (Sidebar conference held as follows:) 19 20 MR. SCHECHTER: When I make objections I'm 21 used to giving a reason for my objections, but --22 that's why I'm here. 23 THE COURT: I don't understand at this point because I'm sure you've gathered that I don't take well 24 to speaking objections or speeches during objections. 25

	Moran - People - redirect 764
1	MR. SCHECHTER: It's not experience because I
2	was just trying to put a ground.
3	The counsel's question is can only require
4	the officer to be clairvoyant as to the operation of
5	Sana Awan's mind.
6	He wrote down there were always knives in the
7	car. He did not ask her in May and June. She did not
8	say in May and June there were knives in the car. She
9	said there were always knives in the car. That's what
10	she said to him.
11	THE COURT: She can certainly ask him what
12	the focus of his investigation was.
13	MR. SCHECHTER: Absolutely.
14	However, she cannot ask him if she was
15	thinking that May
16	THE COURT: I agree with you.
17	MR. SCHECHTER: That's what the nature of her
18	question was.
19	THE COURT: What I would ask you to do,
20	Ms. Johnson, is rephrase your question.
21	MS. JOHNSON: Sure.
22	THE COURT: Just so you understand, if you're
23	going to ask him about what she was thinking
24	MS. JOHNSON: I understand.
25	(Sidebar conference concludes.)

	Moran - People - redirect 765
1	THE COURT: All right, you can rephrase the
2	question.
3	MS. JOHNSON: Yes.
4	Q. Detective Moran, when you were speaking to
5	Ms. Awan were you only speaking of May and June of 2008?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And was that the subject of your interview with
8	her, those two months alone?
9	A. Yes.
10	MS. JOHNSON: Nothing else.
11	THE COURT: Recross?
12	MR. SCHECHTER: Nothing further.
13	THE COURT: All right, detective, thank you
14	very much.
15	(Witness excused.)
16	MS. JOHNSON: Can I excuse him?
17	THE COURT: Yes, detective, you're excused.
18	I did it for you.
19	People?
20	MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, at this time the
21	People rest.
22	THE COURT: All right, members of the jury,
23	this is a point in time when myself and the attorneys
24	have some legal discussion.
25	I'm not going to let you go just yet. I am

Moran - People - redirect 766 going to excuse you for a little bit while we discuss 1 things that normally come at this point in a criminal 2 trial. 3 So you're going to have a little bit of a 4 recess and I'll have you back here as soon as possible. 5 (Jury exits.) 6 7 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Schechter, at the close of the People's case? 8 MR. SCHECHTER: At the end of the People's 9 case, your Honor, the defendant moves for -- to dismiss 10 the indictment on the grounds that the People have 11 failed to prove a prima facie case. 12 THE COURT: People? 13 14 MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, we would oppose that application. 15 In the light most favorable to the People 16 17 there is sufficient evidence in the light most favorable to the People that we have proven each and 18 every element of each charged offense beyond a 19 reasonable doubt. 20 21 THE COURT: All right, at this time the application for a trial order of dismissal is denied. 22 23 MR. SCHECHTER: Prima facie case? 24 That was just based on the prima facie case. 25 THE COURT: Yes.

	Moran - People - redirect 767
1	MR. SCHECHTER: Do the People rest?
2	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, we rested in front of the
3	jury.
4	MR. SCHECHTER: Okay, they did. The motion,
5	that encompasses both, okay.
6	Just so the record is clear, so that the
7	Court's determination or the Court's order is that the
8	motion to dismiss based upon the People failing to
9	prove a prima facie case and the motion of the
10	defendant that the People have failed to prove their
11	case beyond a reasonable doubt are being considered
12	together and therefore the Court is denying both
13	motions?
14	THE COURT: No.
15	Are you putting on a case?
16	Because I don't think we get to the issue of
17	beyond a reasonable doubt until
18	MR. SCHECHTER: Defendant rests.
19	THE COURT: Do you want I think we
20	should well, what I'm going to do is have you do
21	that in front of the jury, obviously.
22	All right, do you want to expand now that you
23	have rested as well?
24	MR. SCHECHTER: I have rested, Judge.
25	THE COURT: But in terms of expanding on your

768 Moran - People - redirect 1 motion? MR. SCHECHTER: Yes, I would like the record 2 to reflect, your Honor, that with respect to 3 Mr. Gopaul's testifying, that I have had a full and 4 complete opportunity to speak with him about the pros 5 and cons of his testifying. It has been discussed with 6 7 him fully and completely and it has been discussed with him in the presence of his wife and his sister. 8 9 have discussed the pros and cons of same. Mr. Gopaul has decided that it is in his 10 11 interests, and as such we are -- he is not going to 12 testify. Is that correct, Mr. Gopaul? 13 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is. THE COURT: And as far as your motion, I just 15 assumed -- I didn't know what your position was as far 16 17 as whether or not you were putting on a case, your motion to dismiss encompasses both prima facie and at 18 19 this point, now having rested, beyond a reasonable doubt? 20 21 MR. SCHECHTER: It does, Judge. 22 THE COURT: And, People, I believe you 23 responded --MS. JOHNSON: Premature --24 25 THE COURT: -- perhaps unwittingly, with

	Moran - People - redirect 769
1	regard to your opposition and on both prima facie case
2	at this particular time as well as at the close of the
3	defendant well, the defendant now resting, the
4	motions to dismiss are denied in all respects.
5	MR. SCHECHTER: Respectfully except.
6	THE COURT: All right, let's get the jury up,
7	let them go. We're going to have a charge conference
8	and we'll have summations in the morning.
9	MR. SCHECHTER: Fine.
10	MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I have spoken to
11	executives in my office and there was an indication of
12	possibly the People requesting lesser included charges
13	to be submitted to the jury.
14	My executive just walked out, so I just want
15	to confirm with her, but we can deal with that after.
16	THE COURT: Okay, I'll allow you to speak to
17	whoever.
18	(Pause in the proceedings.)
19	MS. JOHNSON: I'll have to get back to
20	whoever.
21	(Jury enters.)
22	THE COURT: People having rested,
23	Mr. Schechter, does the defendant intend to put on a
24	case?
25	MR. SCHECHTER: We rest, Judge.

Moran - People - redirect 770

THE COURT: Okay, all right, ladies and gentlemen, it's moving even quicker than I said it was yesterday.

We are at the stage where there would be summations by both counsel and then my instructions on the law and you'll then retire to for deliberations.

Obviously we're not going to do that today, so therefore I'm going to let you go for today.

Tomorrow we'll have summations, my charge.

There's some further legal issues that I need to take up with both counsel which I'm going to try to cover after I let you go.

Just bear in mind, tomorrow one of the first things that will happen is we're going to take a lunch order from you in the morning, so plan on having lunch, courtesy of the Nassau County court system, tomorrow.

And then we're going to have you up here, as soon as we can get everybody together, for summations.

As I told you during jury selection, there is no longer any sequestration so don't come here with a duffle bag and think you're going to get a night in a hotel on us, you're just going to get lunch tomorrow.

So as I let you go, please, at this very late moment, even though the evidence, if you will, is in, please, it's extremely important that you not form any

Moran - People - redirect 771 opinion at this point. Keep an open mind. You still 1 haven't heard the defendant's and the People's closing 2 arguments. You haven't heard my instructions on the 3 So please don't speak amongst yourselves or with 4 5 anybody else about the case. Please don't view or visit any of the areas 6 you've heard described and my admonition about not 7 accessing things on the computer also still applies. 8 9 So get home safe. We will see you back here tomorrow morning at the same time, okay? 10 (Jury exits.) 11 THE COURT: All right, what I would ask both 12 Mr. Schechter and yourself, Ms. Johnson, if you would 13 14 just come back with my law secretary, hopefully we can cover the charge and get it out of the way. 15 MS. JOHNSON: Let me make a quick call, 16 17 confirm, and then I'll come back to chambers. (Proceedings adjourned to Thursday, May 14, 18 2009 at 9:30 a.m.) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

	772
1	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
2	COUNTY OF NASSAU : CRIMINAL TERM PART 80
3	X
4	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, : Indictment : No. 2415N/08
5	-against- : :
6	HAROLD GOPAUL, : SEX ABUSE 1 :
7	Defendant. : Trial
8	May 14, 2009
9	252 Old Country Road
10	Mineola, New York
11	BEFORE:
12	HONORABLE JAMES P. McCORMACK,
13	Acting Supreme Court Justice
14	APPEARANCES:
15	(As previously noted.)
16	* * * *
17	THE CLERK: Case on trial, the People of the
18	State of New York against Harold Gopaul,
19	Indictment 2415n of 2008.
20	Are the People ready?
21	MS. JOHNSON: We are ready.
22	THE CLERK: Defense counsel ready?
23	MR. SCHECHTER: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Before we bring the jury down for
25	summations and charge I think the record should reflect

	Proceedings 773
1	that we had a charge conference yesterday in my
2	chambers and I just want to put our understanding of
3	what's going to be charged.
4	As I indicated to you, Mr. Schechter,
5	obviously I'm going to be giving a prompt outcry
6	charge. I showed it to you yesterday.
	MR. SCHECHTER: Yes.
7	
8	THE COURT: You indicated to me you wanted a
9	charge with respect to motive.
10	MR. SCHECHTER: Yes.
11	THE COURT: However, you did not want a
12	charge of interest or lack of interest.
13	MR. SCHECHTER: Is that going with the
14	charge that part of that charge defendant is
15	interested as a matter of law that I'm asking not be
16	included?
17	THE COURT: No, it just deals with witnesses.
18	MR. SCHECHTER: Does it also include a
19	charge, Judge, I'm asking, if the defendant is an
20	interested witness?
21	THE COURT: No.
22	MR. SCHECHTER: It does not. As long as that
23	statement is not made to the jury, yes, I want it
24	excluded.
25	THE COURT: You want it excluded?
	wa .

	Proceedings 774	
1	MR. SCHECHTER: Yes.	
2	THE COURT: Well, it doesn't have anything	
3	with respect to the defendant.	
4	MR. SCHECHTER: Then you changed my mind.	I
5	thought that might have been included. If that's	
6	excluded I want that charge included because interest	
7	is something that might be an element of this case,	
8	interest.	
9	THE COURT: So I'll give that.	
10	Inconsistent statements you asked for. I	
11	would have given it.	
12	People you wanted consistency, I'll give	
13	that.	
14	Defendant not testifying.	
15	MR. SCHECHTER: Yes.	
16	THE COURT: I am going to give the falsus e	n
17	uno.	
18	I am giving police testimony.	
19	I am going to give the Molineaux charge.	
20	It's identical to the one I gave after Ms. Awan	
21	testified.	
22	With regard to the issue of statements,	
23	Mr. Schechter, you wanted a charge with regard to	
24	custodial statements, which I will give, Miranda	
25	rights, which I am giving, traditional involuntarines	s,

	Proceedings 775
1	which I am giving, and the expanded charge on
	traditional voluntariness as well as delay in
2	
3	arraignment.
4	MS. JOHNSON: Which, your Honor, we had
5	opposed yesterday back in chambers.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	I think in terms of preliminary charges and
8	charges that are particular to this case, I also give
9	the indictment is not evidence charge.
10	I do give credibility factors.
11	Sentence, they're not to consider or
12	speculate as to matter of sentence.
13	Insofar as the lesser included, yesterday
14	both the People and the defendant had requested a
15	charge of sexual abuse in the third degree and that's
16	130.55 of the Penal Law as a lesser included of sex
17	abuse in the first degree, which is what the indictment
18	charges here, which is 130.65, Subdivision 1.
19	In looking at the both of those charges,
20	and specifically the elements of both of those charges,
21	they are, in fact, identical in terms of the elements,
22	particularly as it pertains to the issue of forcible
23	compulsion. There is actually no distinction between
24	either one.

So in thinking with respect to whether or not

Proceedings

there is a reasonable view of the evidence that the defendant committed the lesser charge while committing the greater, the Court -- in this Court's view, and I'm guided by a case called the People versus Discala, D-i-s-c-a-l-a, that's 45 N.Y.2d, Page 38, as well as another Court of Appeals case, People versus Eboli, E-b-o-l-i, that's 34 N.Y.2d 281.

In the Discala case, although it deals with the element -- or the crimes, I should say, of coercion, both of the elements, the greater and the lesser included, were, as in this case, identical and I think in an analogous situation the crime of sex abuse in the third degree in this particular instance reflects what, in this Court's view, is a -- as a misdemeanor, what I would perceive, and the Court of Appeals indicated in Discala, "is a safety valve feature that was reserved and enacted by the legislature for an unusual factual situation."

And specifically I think it applies in this case as well. "The rationale," I'm quoting from the case, "for this approach is that it would indeed be an exceptional case where the method of coercion is by threat of personal or property injury while at the same time the heinous quality is lacking," and I think in this particular instance you have a situation where the

degree as the lesser included offense.

Proceedings

elements are identical to each other and I don't think there's a reasonable view of the evidence in this case that would warrant a charge of sex abuse in the third

I think in this particular case the prosecution has opted, if you will, to charge the sex abuse in the first degree based upon the -- what they believe to be the rather aggravating or heinous nature of the element of force or forcible compulsion and I think in this case to submit both of these charges to the jury is going to force them to speculate as to which charge to choose from and, clearly, if the elements are identical, which they are, as in any lesser included offense the jury is always asked or directed not to consider the lesser unless they find the defendant not guilty of the greater.

As the elements are identical here, I do not believe that if the jury was to find the defendant not guilty of the greater, that they could find him guilty of the lesser when, in fact, the elements are the same.

In this Court's view it would seem to be -well, would amount to be a repugnant verdict, should
that happen, and I think the sex abuse in the third
degree is reserved for, as in the Discala case, those
unusual factual situations that the legislature has

deemed to be not as heinous in terms of the nature of the force in a sex abuse one situation and reserve that particular charge for those situations that are, perhaps, less serious in terms of the element of force.

I know, Mr. Schechter, you had indicated a moment ago that, in your view, obviously, you are requesting this, but as the elements are exactly the same between the two, if it's your theory that there is -- that this was not an act done by force, clearly the jury would, if they make that finding, would have to acquit your client whether it was sex abuse in the first degree or sex abuse in the third degree and I think under these situations, given what I've seen in these two Court of Appeals cases, as the Court in the Discala case said, "The jury should not be permitted to choose between the crime charged and some lesser crime where the evidence essential to support a verdict of guilt of the lesser necessarily proves guilt of the greater as well."

And in the Discala case the Court of Appeals found that it was not error to charge the lesser charge, although it was dealing with the issue of coercion. It was the same type of situation where the elements of the greater and the lesser were identical and I think in these -- under these facts of this

ws

particular case, again, I think to submit the lesser on all of these 14 counts, which, I believe, are all the same elements of sex abuse in the first degree, is going to lead to speculation on the part of the jury and I don't think that there's a reasonable view of the evidence that the lesser charge, if you will, which obviously has the element of force, is warranted in this particular case.

People, you want to be heard with respect to that?

MS. JOHNSON: Yes, Judge.

Your Honor, we have had the opportunity to review both those cases, both Discala and Eboli, which your Honor has referenced.

The People also have the opportunity to read the McKinney's commentaries, specifically under the category of lack of consent, and the commentaries say to People versus Roberts, which we've provided to the Court and counsel, that People versus Roberts at 134 A.D.2D 856, where the court unanimously reversed on the law and a new trial was granted where the court indicated, "the court erred in refusing defendant's request to charge sex abuse in the third degree as a lesser included offense of sexual abuse in the first degree."

The court reasons, "It is impossible to commit sexual abuse in the first degree by forcible compulsion without concomitantly and by the same conduct committing sexual abuse in the third degree since sexual contact accomplished by forcible compulsion is, by definition, without the victim's consent.

"Since there is a reasonable view of the evidence that the defendant touched the victim without her consent, but not by forcible compulsion, the Court should have granted defendant's request to charge."

Your Honor, in this case, where there is evidence that, first, the victim states no to the defendant and, in fact on his video confession he states that at first she indicated no, it's our position that in that light, if the jury does not believe that there was that element of physical force, either through the implied or expressed threats or through his physical self forcing upon her, it would not be an inconsistent verdict for them to find that she said no, but there was no physical force and that therefore the jury should be asked -- allowed to consider the sexual abuse in the third degree charge relying on People versus Roberts.

THE COURT: Mr. Schechter?

781

MR. SCHECHTER: May it please the Court, this is rather unusual in the fact that both the prosecutor and I both agree and the fact pattern that counsel has just alluded to I raised in our conference regarding the inclusion of the lesser included crime of sexual abuse in the third degree.

I should like to say, your Honor, with all due respect, I think the Court is misapplying the cases that were cited for the following reasons.

Firstly, with respect to coercion, the elements of coercion and the elements of sexual abuse are totally different and while the analogy with respect to the inclusion or non-inclusion of lesser included crimes depends on the facts of the case, the facts of this case are quite different.

As a matter of fact, as I referred to the Court inside, cited in Discala, I'm referring to, as the Court said, 45 N.Y.2d at 38, on Page 3 of the opinion in the second paragraph it says, "Since the statutory definition for the felony crime sets forth as one of its elements that a person is guilty of coercion in the first degree when he commits the crime of coercion in the second degree, Penal Law 135.65, in this case it was impossible to commit the first degree offense without concomitantly committing, by the same

WS

2 3 4

1

5 6

8

7

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

Proceedings

conduct, the second degree crime. Therefore, it follows that the misdemeanor of coercion is a lesser included offense of felony coercion and should be charged, if requested if there is a reasonable view of the evidence which would support a finding that the defendant committed such lesser offense, but did not commit the greater practice."

And, continuing, I'm sorry, on the top of Page 3 it says, "In other words, it is not for the trial judge to speculate -- " not the jury, "It is not for the trial judge to speculate as to what would be the ultimate finding of the jury. The court simply determines if there is a reasonable view of the facts which would support a conviction of the lesser crime but not the greater."

And here's the crucial element, "The evidence must be viewed in the light most favorable to the defendant," and that's quoted in the opinion that the Court cited, People v. Discala.

THE COURT: Let me just ask, Mr. Schechter, I assume that your theory is going to be that there was -- this was not a forcible act on the part of your client.

MR. SCHECHTER: I am going to argue that and, in the alternative, that if there was, it was minimum

WS

	Proceedings 783
1	overcoming of the resistance.
2	And, let me say, we all have heard within the
3	last
4	THE COURT: Well, if the elements of force
5	are forcible compulsion, and, again, they are identical
6	in sex abuse three and sex abuse one, under this
7	subdivision
8	MR. SCHECHTER: I respectfully disagree.
9	THE COURT: Well, if you want I can show you
10	the charge. I don't know if you bothered to take a
11	look at it, but the elements, I can tell you right now,
12	are identical and both of them obviously have and
13	element of the element of forcible compulsion in
14	them and the elements of forcible compulsion are
15	identical, they're both the same. I don't think that
16	there's a reasonable view of the evidence, base upon
17	your theory that there was no force, that the jury
18	could find sex abuse in the third degree and not find
19	the greater offense of sex abuse in the first degree.
20	MR. SCHECHTER: With all due respect, the
21	Court is not permitting me to continue.
22	THE COURT: Oh, I'm going to permit you to
23	continue, I'm just trying to make a point.
24	MR. SCHECHTER: Because I am completely able
25	and permitted to argue alternative theories to the

Proceedings

jury, as it is my privilege and my legal right to do so and I intend to do so.

However, as counsel stated, looking at the evidence most favorable to the defendant, when Mr. Gopaul was on that videotape he was asked specifically by, I think it was Mr. Hughes, although Mr. Jarred Rosenblatt, who is in the courtroom during these arguments and I'll refer to that later, asked the defendant, "Well, did she agree to the -- to your advances," and the defendant, in sum and substance, and I hope if this matter does go up on appeal that the Appellate Division and so on will view the videotape so they could see what was said or hear what was said because since it's a videotape it's not something that becomes a Court exhibit except the actual artifact.

The defendant said on the videotape in response to the question, "Did she agree -- " in sum and substance, "Did she agree to your sexual advances," and the defendant says, in sum and substance, "Well, not at first. She said no at first but then I said okay, okay."

THE COURT: Implying that it was consensual.

MR. SCHECHTER: Well, that was the defendant's bent, but the words could be interpreted and in these days, 2009, where we have been

WS

	Proceedings 785
1	indoctrinated over the last
2	THE COURT: Mr. Schechter, don't give me an
3	anthropology.
4	MR. SCHECHTER: I'm not, I'm going by way of
5	analogy.
6	THE COURT: Just make your point, if you
7	will.
8	MR. SCHECHTER: I will . No is no. We've
9	been hearing that time and time again, no is no.
10	So if the complaining witness had said, "No,
11	I don't want to," theoretically, that's a question of
12	fact whether the jury well, she really meant no, did
13	not mean no, if she said, "No, please don't do it," and
14	he says, "It's okay, it's okay," a possible view of the
15	evidence, a reasonable view of the evidence, could be
16	that while the force does not rise to the level of sex
17	abuse in the first degree certainly, under those
18	circumstances, sexual abuse in the third degree could
19	be contemplated and I think that's why these instances
20	are relatively fact specific, especially since the
21	Court has cited these cases which deal with coercion
22	and not with sexual abuse in the third degree.
23	Prosecution has already cited a case directly
24	on point in sexual
25	THE COURT: Let me just interrupt you by
	wa

Proceedings

saying that the case that the prosecutor cited, if you notice, had no elucidation, if you will, of the facts regarding what the Court's decision was.

MR. SCHECHTER: Mine is fact specific, however, Judge, and we have his own words on the videotape. It's in evidence and it's got to be interpreted in the light most favorable to the defendant and that is my proffer.

THE COURT: And you're correct in that the -it has to be viewed, should be viewed, in the light
most favorable to the defendant, but I think under
these circumstances in taking that approach that there
is no reasonable view of the evidence in this instance,
given the evidence that the Court and this jury has
heard, that would support that this is one of those, to
use your words, no-means-no type of situations.

This is not a case where you have an isolated incident of sexual contact between an alleged victim and a defendant. This is one that's been charged as a pattern, if you will, over a period of two months.

You're free to argue whatever theory you think is -- the evidence allows, but I think under these circumstances to submit these lesser counts to the jury is really going to lead to unnecessary speculation on their part, particularly as the elements

	Proceedings 787
1	are identical.
2	So for those reasons I'm not going to charge
3	it.
4	One last thing I want to take up before we
5	begin summations.
6	MR. SCHECHTER: I respectfully except to your
7	Honor's ruling each, and every part of your Honor's
8	ruling, for the reasons stated and for the reasons that
9	are contained in those cases.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	With regard to the issue of forcible
12	compulsion as it's going to be charged, it gets to
13	Subdivision 2, it reads, "By a threat expressed or
14	implied which places a person in fear of immediate
15	death or physical injury to himself or herself or
16	another person."
17	It goes on to state, "Or in fear that he or
18	she or another person will immediately be kidnapped."
19	That second part, Mr. Schechter, or in fear
20	that he or she or another person will be immediately
21	kidnapped
22	MR. SCHECHTER: I want that out, Judge.
23	THE COURT: You asked that that be excluded,
24	is that right?
25	MR. SCHECHTER: Yes, yes.

	Proceedings 788
1	THE COURT: And I will do that.
2	Anything else we need to take up?
3	MS. JOHNSON: I would just ask for a couple
4	of minutes after counsel's summation.
5	THE COURT: Yes.
6	(Jury enters.)
7	THE COURT: All right, members of the jury,
8	good morning, welcome back.
9	We're about to hear from both counsel their
10	summations which will then be followed by my
11	instructions on the law.
12	Members of the jury, you will now hear
13	summations of the lawyers.
14	Following the summations I will instruct you
15	on the law and you will begin your deliberations.
16	Under our law defense counsel will sum up
17	first and the prosecutor must follow. The lawyers may
18	not speak to you after that.
19	Summations provide each lawyer an opportunity
20	to review the evidence and submit for your
21	consideration the facts, inferences and conclusions
22	that they contend may properly be drawn from the
23	evidence.
24	If you find that a lawyer has accurately
25	summarized and analyzed the evidence and if you find

789 Proceedings that the inferences and conclusions the lawyer asked 1 you to draw from that evidence are reasonable, logical 2 and consistent with the evidence, then you may adopt 3 those inferences and conclusions. 4 Members of the jury, bear in mind the 5 6 following points: First, you are the finders of the fact and it 7 is for you and you alone to determine the facts from 8 the evidence you find to be truthful and accurate. 9 10 Thus, whatever the lawyers say and however 11 they say it, you should remember that what the lawyers say is simply argument submitted for your 12 13 consideration. Second, remember the lawyers are not 14 15 witnesses in this case so if a lawyer asserts as fact 16 something that is not based on the evidence you must 17 disregard it. 18 Remember, nothing the lawyers say at any time is evidence so nothing the lawyers say in their 19 summations is evidence. 20 You have heard the evidence and you must 21 22 decide this case on the evidence as you find it and the 23 law as I explain it. Third, during the summations one lawyer's 24 25 recollection of the evidence may, in good faith, differ

from the recollection of the other lawyer's or from your own recollection and the lawyers will undoubtedly differ with each other on the conclusions to be drawn from the evidence.

If your recollection, understanding and evaluation of the evidence -- I should say it is your recollection, understanding and evaluation of the evidence that controls, regardless of what the lawyers say or have said about the evidence.

You and you alone are the judges of the facts in this case.

If during your deliberations you need to have your recollection of the testimony refreshed you may have all or any portion of the testimony read back to you.

Fourth, remember under our law I am responsible for explaining the law, not the lawyers.

Now, prior to summation the lawyers were permitted to read instructions on the law that I will deliver to you after their summations and the lawyers are permitted to refer briefly to portions of those instructions in their summations if they wish.

However, even though a lawyer may refer to portions of those instructions you must listen carefully to all the instructions that I will give you

791 Proceedings after the summations. 1 2 If you think there is any difference between 3 what the lawyers may have said and what I say the law is, your sworn duty as jurors is to follow my 4 instructions on the law as you have promised me you 5 would do. 6 7 First, if during the summations I sustain an objection to a comment of a lawyer, that comment will 8 be stricken from the record and you must disregard it 9 10 as if it were never said. If I overrule an objection the comment will 11 12 stand. 13 Whether I sustain or overrule an objection or 14 on my own indicate that a comment must be disregarded, my ruling indicates only that the comment does or does 15 16 not violate one of the rules set forth for the lawyers 17 following summations. 18 It is not an attempt to indicate that I have 19 an opinion on what is said or about the facts of the 20 case or whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty. 21 Remember, under our law you and you alone 22 judge what facts, if any, are proven and whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty, not I and not the 23 24 lawyers.

25

At this time we'll turn to the summations.